arXiv:1512.08751v1 [math-ph] 29 Dec 2015

EVOLUTION OF QUASI-CHARACTERISTIC FUNCTIONS IN QUANTUM STOCHASTIC SYSTEMS WITH WEYL QUANTIZATION OF ENERGY OPERATORS

IGOR G. VLADIMIROV

ABSTRACT. This paper considers open quantum systems whose dynamic variables satisfy canonical commutation relations and are governed by Markovian Hudson-Parthasarathy quantum stochastic differential equations driven by external bosonic fields. The dependence of the Hamiltonian and the system-field coupling operators on the system variables is represented using the Weyl functional calculus. This leads to an integro-differential equation (IDE) for the evolution of the quasi-characteristic function (QCF) which encodes the dynamics of mixed moments of the system variables. Unlike quantum master equations for reduced density operators, this IDE involves only complex-valued functions on finite-dimensional Euclidean spaces and extends the Wigner-Moyal phase-space approach for quantum stochastic systems. The dynamics of the QCF and the related Wigner quasi-probability density function (QPDF) are discussed in more detail for the case when the coupling operators depend linearly on the system variables and the Hamiltonian has a nonquadratic part represented in the Weyl quantization form. For this class of quantum stochastic systems, we also consider an approximate computation of invariant states and discuss the deviation from Gaussian quantum states in terms of the χ^2-divergence (or the second-order Renyi relative entropy) applied to the QPDF. The results of the paper may find applications to investigating different aspects of the moment stability, relaxation dynamics and invariant states in open quantum systems.

1. INTRODUCTION

A wide class of open quantum systems, whose dynamics are affected by interaction with the environment and are described in terms of noncommutative operators on a Hilbert space evolving according to the laws of quantum mechanics, can be modelled by using the Hudson-Parthasarathy quantum stochastic calculus [27, 46]; see also [2, 21]. This approach represents the external bosonic fields by annihilation and creation processes (which constitute a quantum mechanical counterpart to the classical Wiener process [32]) and gauge processes associated with photon exchange between the fields. The continuous tensor product structure of the symmetric Fock space [48], which serves as a domain for the field operators, and the role of the quantum Wiener process as an innovation process are important ingredients of a Markovian model of the system dynamics. This model follows the Heisenberg picture of quantum dynamics [40] in the form of quantum stochastic differential equations (QSDEs) for the system variables, which are driven by the field operator processes according to the energetics of the system-field interaction. This interaction is specified by the Hamiltonian, coupling and scattering operators which are (in general, nonlinear) functions of the system variables.

The fact, that the structure of QSDEs reflects the joint unitary evolution of the system and fields and is dictated by the energy operators, underlies the interconnection rules for open quantum systems in quantum feedback networks [15] and is responsible for physical realizability constraints [31, 51] in coherent quantum control and filtering problems [41, 44, 61, 62]. These problems are measurement-free versions of the measurement-based control and filtering problems for quantum systems [1, 6, 8, 14, 29, 65] and aim to achieve desired properties for (or extracting quantum information from) a given quantum system through its interconnection with another quantum system, which plays the role of a controller or observer and replaces the classical observation-actuation loop. Similarly to their measurement-based counterparts, the coherent quantum control and filtering problems employ performance criteria associated with the averaged behaviour of the resulting fully quantum systems. Such performance functionals are organised as quantum expectations of nonlinear (for example, positive definite quadratic or quadratic-exponential) functions of system variables which are subject to minimization, thus reflecting a preference towards dissipativity of the quantum system with respect to external disturbances [30, 49, 59] in the spirit of the Lyapunov stability and Willems dissipativity theories [64].

Therefore, the above mentioned control and filtering problems employ generalized moments which may involve nonlinear (but not necessarily polynomial) functions of the system variables. These moments are completely specified by the mean vector and the quantum covariance matrix of the system variables in the case of Gaussian

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 81S22, 81S25, 81S30, 81P16, 81S05; secondary: 81Q15, 35Q40, 37M25.

Key words and phrases. Open quantum systems, canonical commutation relations, quantum stochastic differential equations, Weyl quantization, Wigner-Moyal approach, quasi-characteric functions, quasi-probability density functions, integro-differential equations.

This work is supported by the Australian Research Council.

quantum states [47], the class of which is invariant with respect to linear quantum dynamics [28] of open quantum harmonic oscillators [8, 11]. An example of tractable non-Gaussian moment dynamics is provided by quasi-linear quantum stochastic systems [60]. The generation of specific classes of Gaussian and non-Gaussian states in appropriately engineered quantum systems (in particular, using quantum-optical components) and criteria for the existence and stability of invariant states are a subject of research [36, 45, 66, 68].

In general, the moments of the system variables are encoded in their quasi-characteristic function (QCF) [4], and it is the QCF evolution that is the main theme of the present paper. More precisely, we are concerned with open quantum systems whose dynamic variables satisfy canonical commutation relations (CCRs), similar to those of the position and momentum operators, and are governed by Markovian QSDEs with the identity scattering matrix. Furthermore, the dependence of the Hamiltonian and the system-field coupling operators on the system variables is represented by using the Weyl functional calculus [10]. The Weyl quantization form of the energy operators allows a linear integro-differential equation (IDE) to be obtained for the evolution of the QCF, which encodes the moment dynamics of the system. The resulting IDE is a quantum analogue of the corresponding equation for the characteristic functions of Markov diffusion processes, obtained in the classical case through the Fourier transform of the Fokker-Planck-Kolmogorov equation (FPKE) [32, 54] into the spatial frequency domain.

Although this approach to open quantum dynamics pertains to the Wigner-Moyal phase-space method [20, 43] of quasi-probability density functions (QPDFs) [16, 33] which are Fourier transforms of the QCFs, the contribution of the present study is in systematically combining, for this purpose, the structure of the Hudson-Parthasarathy QSDEs with the Weyl quantization model of the Hamiltonian and the coupling operators. The IDE, which governs the evolution of the QCF, can be regarded as a spatial frequency domain representation of the master equations for reduced density operators [11], known for particular classes of quantum systems such as the open quantum harmonic oscillators mentioned above. Unlike the quantum master equations and similarly to the Moyal equations [43], the IDE for the QCF involves only complex-valued functions on finite-dimensional Euclidean spaces and its analysis can be more convenient from the viewpoint of classical partial differential equations (PDEs) [9, 56]. We also mention recent extensions of the Moyal equations to different classes of open quantum systems in [17, 39].

As an illustration of the phase-space analysis in the quantum stochastic framework, we discuss a class [52] of open quantum systems with linear coupling to the external bosonic fields, in which case, the nonlinearity in the governing QSDE is caused by a nonquadratic part of the system Hamiltonian represented in the Weyl quantization form. For such a system, the QPDF satisfies an IDE consisting of an FPKE part (which corresponds to a linear SDE leading to Gaussian dynamics) and an integral operator term. This integral operator does not correspond to the "jump" part of a classical jump-diffusion process and can lead to negative values of the QPDF, which makes it qualitatively different from usual PDFs and is considered to be a resource provided by quantum systems in comparison with their classical counterparts [55]. In the case of linear system-field coupling, we also discuss a dissipation relation for a weighted L^2-norm of the QCF, which is organised similarly to the norm in the Bessel potential space [54] and can be applied to obtaining upper bounds for the QPDF and its derivatives. Furthermore, we consider a perturbative computation of the invariant state in phase space as a steady-state solution of the IDEs for the QCF and QPDF through the operator splitting [38, 53]. Also, for the case of linear system-field coupling, we discuss a dissipation relation for the deviation of the system from Gaussian quantum states in terms of the χ^2-divergence (or the second-order Renyi relative entropy [50]) of the QPDFs.

In addition to these examples, the results of the paper can be used for investigating the moment stability and the rate of convergence to invariant states in open quantum stochastic systems, as well as other aspects of the relaxation dynamics. We omit some analytic details (such as regularity issues), so that the present exposition is fairly intuitive and maintains a "physical", rather than "mathematical", level of rigour.

The paper is organised as follows. Section 2 provides principal notation for convenience of reading. Section 3 describes the class of open quantum systems being considered. Section 4 specifies the Weyl quantization of the Hamiltonian and the coupling operators and represents the governing QSDE in a similar form. Section 5 discusses the classical limit of this equation which corresponds to a commutative Markov diffusion process governed by a Hamiltonian SDE with a canonical flow in the sense of [13, 18]. Section 6 revisits the generalized moments of system variables in terms of the QCFs and QPDFs. Section 7 obtains the IDE which governs the evolution of QCF. Section 8 discusses this equation together with a related IDE for the QPDF and a dissipation relation for the QCF for the class of systems with linear system-field coupling. Section 9 considers an approximate phase-space computation of the invariant state for such systems with a nonquadratic potential. Section 10 applies the above results to the deviation of the system from Gaussian states in terms of the χ^2-divergence of QPDFs. Section 11 provides concluding remarks.

2. NOTATION

The commutator of linear operators A and B is denoted by $[A,B] := AB - BA$, with $\mathrm{ad}_A(\cdot) := [A,\cdot]$ being a linear superoperator associated with a fixed operator A. This extends to the commutator $(n\times m)$-matrix $[X,Y^{\mathrm{T}}] := XY^{\mathrm{T}} - (YX^{\mathrm{T}})^{\mathrm{T}} = ([X_j,Y_k])_{1\leqslant j\leqslant n,1\leqslant k\leqslant m}$ for a vector X of operators $X_1,\ldots,X_n$ and a vector Y of operators $Y_1,\ldots,Y_m$. Vectors are organised as columns unless indicated otherwise, and the transpose $(\cdot)^{\mathrm{T}}$ acts on matrices of operators as if their entries were scalars. In application to such matrices, $(\cdot)^\dagger := ((\cdot)^\#)^{\mathrm{T}}$ denotes the transpose of the entrywise operator adjoint $(\cdot)^\#$, with $(\cdot)^\dagger$ reducing to the usual complex conjugate transpose $(\cdot)^* := (\overline{(\cdot)})^{\mathrm{T}}$ for complex matrices. The subspaces of real symmetric, real antisymmetric and complex Hermitian matrices of order n are denoted by $\mathbb{S}_n$, $\mathbb{A}_n$ and $\mathbb{H}_n := \mathbb{S}_n + i\mathbb{A}_n$, respectively, where $i := \sqrt{-1}$ is the imaginary unit. The symmetrizer of a square matrix M is defined by $\mathscr{S}(M) := \frac{1}{2}(M + M^{\mathrm{T}})$. The real and imaginary parts of a complex matrix extend to matrices M with operator-valued entries as $\mathrm{Re}\, M = \frac{1}{2}(M + M^\#)$ and $\mathrm{Im}\, M = \frac{1}{2i}(M - M^\#)$ which consist of self-adjoint operators. Positive (semi-) definiteness of matrices and the corresponding partial ordering are denoted by $(\succcurlyeq)\ \succ$. Also, $\mathbb{S}_n^+$ and $\mathbb{H}_n^+$ denote the sets of positive semi-definite real symmetric and complex Hermitian matrices of order n, respectively. The tensor product of spaces or operators (in particular, the Kronecker product of matrices) is denoted by $\otimes$. The identity matrix of order n is denoted by I_n, while the identity operator on a linear space H is denoted by $\mathscr{I}_H$. Also, $\|v\|_K := \sqrt{v^{\mathrm{T}}Kv}$ denotes the (semi-) norm of a real vector v associated with a real positive (semi-) definite symmetric matrix K. The Frobenius inner product of real or complex matrices is denoted by $\langle M,N\rangle_{\mathrm{F}} := \mathrm{Tr}(M^*N)$ and generates the Frobenius norm $\|M\|_{\mathrm{F}} := \sqrt{\langle M,M\rangle_{\mathrm{F}}}$ which reduces to the standard Euclidean norm $|\cdot|$ for vectors. At the same time, $\|\varphi\|_2 := \sqrt{\langle\varphi,\varphi\rangle}$ denotes the norm in the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of square integrable complex-valued functions on $\mathbb{R}^n$ with the inner product $\langle\varphi,\psi\rangle := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\overline{\varphi(x)}\psi(x)\mathrm{d}x$. The expectation $\mathbf{E}\xi := \mathrm{Tr}(\rho\xi)$ of a quantum variable ξ over a density operator ρ extends entrywise to matrices of such variables. For vectors X and Y of quantum variables, $\mathbf{cov}(X,Y) := \mathbf{E}(XY^{\mathrm{T}}) - \mathbf{E}X\mathbf{E}Y^{\mathrm{T}}$ and $\mathbf{cov}(X) := \mathbf{cov}(X,X)$ denote the corresponding quantum covariance matrices. The "rightwards" ordered product of noncommutative variables $\xi_1,\ldots,\xi_n$ is denoted by $\overrightarrow{\prod}_{k=1}^n\xi_k := \xi_1\times\ldots\times\xi_n$. For a vector X with entries $X_1,\ldots,X_n$ and an n-index $\alpha := (\alpha_k)_{1\leqslant k\leqslant n}\in\mathbb{Z}_+^n$ (where $\mathbb{Z}_+$ denotes the set of nonnegative integers), use is made of the multiindex notation $X^\alpha := \overrightarrow{\prod}_{k=1}^n X_k^{\alpha_k}$, $|\alpha| := \alpha_1+\ldots+\alpha_n$, $\alpha! := \alpha_1!\times\ldots\times\alpha_n!$, and $\partial_u^\alpha := \partial_{u_1}^{\alpha_1}\ldots\partial_{u_n}^{\alpha_n}$, where $\partial_{u_1},\ldots,\partial_{u_n}$ are the partial derivatives with respect to independent real variables $u_1,\ldots,u_n$ comprising a vector $u := (u_k)_{1\leqslant k\leqslant n}\in\mathbb{R}^n$. Use is also made the function $\mathrm{sinc}(z) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } z=0\\ \frac{\sin z}{z} & \text{otherwise}\end{cases}$ (which is an entire even function of a complex variable). The divergence operator $\mathrm{div}(\cdot)$, when it is applied to an $\mathbb{R}^{m\times n}$-valued function $M := (M_{jk})_{1\leqslant j\leqslant m,1\leqslant k\leqslant n}$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ (with $m>1$), acts in a row-wise fashion, with $\mathrm{div}M := \left(\sum_{k=1}^n\nabla_k M_{jk}\right)_{1\leqslant j\leqslant m}$ being an $\mathbb{R}^m$-valued function, where ∇_k denotes the partial derivative with respect to the kth Cartesian coordinate.

3. OPEN QUANTUM STOCHASTIC SYSTEMS

We will be concerned with an open quantum stochastic system endowed with a vector $X := (X_k)_{1\leqslant k\leqslant n}$ of dynamic variables $X_1,\ldots,X_n$. The system variables are self-adjoint operators on an underlying complex separable Hilbert space $\mathscr{H}$ which satisfy the Weyl CCRs

$$\mathscr{W}_{u+v} = \mathrm{e}^{iu^{\mathrm{T}}\Theta v}\mathscr{W}_u\mathscr{W}_v = \mathrm{e}^{-iu^{\mathrm{T}}\Theta v}\mathscr{W}_v\mathscr{W}_u \tag{1}$$

for all $u,v\in\mathbb{R}^n$, and hence, $[\mathscr{W}_u,\mathscr{W}_v] = -2i\sin(u^{\mathrm{T}}\Theta v)\mathscr{W}_{u+v}$. Here, $\Theta := (\theta_{jk})_{1\leqslant j,k\leqslant n}\in\mathbb{A}_n$, and use is made of the unitary Weyl operator

$$\mathscr{W}_u := \mathrm{e}^{iu^{\mathrm{T}}X} \tag{2}$$

defined in terms of the self-adjoint operator $u^{\mathrm{T}}X = \sum_{k=1}^n u_kX_k$ which is a linear combination of the system variables with real coefficients comprising the vector $u := (u_k)_{1\leqslant k\leqslant n}$. The Heisenberg infinitesimal form of the CCRs (1) is

$$[X,X^{\mathrm{T}}] = 2i\Theta\otimes\mathscr{I}_{\mathscr{H}} \tag{3}$$

on a dense domain in $\mathscr{H}$. In what follows, the matrix $\Theta\otimes\mathscr{I}_{\mathscr{H}}$ will be identified with Θ. Also, the dimension n is assumed to be even, and the CCR matrix is given by

$$\Theta := \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{J}\otimes I_{n/2}, \qquad \mathbf{J} := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1\\ -1 & 0\end{bmatrix}. \tag{4}$$

This corresponds to the case when the vector X is formed from $\frac{n}{2}$ conjugate pairs of the quantum mechanical position and momentum operators (with the units chosen so that the reduced Planck constant is $\hbar = 1$). However, the explicit form (4) of the CCR matrix Θ will not be important, though the nonsingularity $\det\Theta\neq 0$ will sometimes be used. The vector X of system variables evolves in time $t\geqslant 0$ according to a particular yet important class of

Markovian Hudson-Parthasarathy QSDEs [27, 46] with the identity scattering matrix (which effectively eliminates from consideration the gauge processes associated with the photon exchange between the fields [46]):

$$\mathrm{d}X = f\mathrm{d}t + g\mathrm{d}W, \tag{5}$$

where the time arguments are omitted for brevity. The n-dimensional drift vector f and the dispersion $(n\times m)$-matrix g of the QSDE (5) are given by

$$f := \mathscr{L}(X) = i[h_0, X] + \mathscr{D}(X), \qquad g := -i[X, h^{\mathrm{T}}], \qquad h := \begin{bmatrix} h_1 \\ \vdots \\ h_m \end{bmatrix}. \tag{6}$$

Here, h_0 is the system Hamiltonian and $h_1,\ldots,h_m$ are the system-field coupling operators. These are self-adjoint operators on the space $\mathscr{H}$ which specify the energetics of the system and its interaction with the environment. Furthermore, $\mathscr{L}$ is the Gorini-Kossakowski-Sudarshan-Lindblad (GKSL) generator [12, 34], which acts on a system operator ξ as

$$\mathscr{L}(\xi) := i[h_0, \xi] + \mathscr{D}(\xi) \tag{7}$$

and is evaluated entrywise at the vector X in (6), and $\mathscr{D}$ is the decoherence superoperator given by

$$\mathscr{D}(\xi) := \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j,k=1}^{m} \omega_{jk}\big([h_j,\xi]h_k + h_j[\xi,h_k]\big) = \frac{1}{2}\big([h^{\mathrm{T}},\xi]\Omega h + h^{\mathrm{T}}\Omega[\xi,h]\big). \tag{8}$$

The QSDE (5) is driven by a vector $W := (W_k)_{1\leqslant k\leqslant m}$ of quantum Wiener processes $W_1,\ldots,W_m$ which are self-adjoint operators on a boson Fock space [21, 46], modelling the external fields. Denoted by $\Omega := (\omega_{jk})_{1\leqslant j,k\leqslant m} \in \mathbb{H}_m^+$ is the quantum Ito matrix of W:

$$\mathrm{d}W\mathrm{d}W^{\mathrm{T}} = \Omega\mathrm{d}t. \tag{9}$$

The dimension m is also assumed to be even, and the entries of W are linear combinations of the field annihilation $\mathfrak{a}_1,\ldots,\mathfrak{a}_{m/2}$ and creation $\mathfrak{a}_1^\dagger,\ldots,\mathfrak{a}_{m/2}^\dagger$ operator processes [27, 46]:

$$W := 2\begin{bmatrix}\mathrm{Re}\,\mathfrak{a}\\ \mathrm{Im}\,\mathfrak{a}\end{bmatrix} = \left(\begin{bmatrix}1 & 1\\ -i & i\end{bmatrix}\otimes I_{m/2}\right)\begin{bmatrix}\mathfrak{a}\\ \mathfrak{a}^\#\end{bmatrix}, \qquad \mathfrak{a} := \begin{bmatrix}\mathfrak{a}_1\\ \vdots\\ \mathfrak{a}_{m/2}\end{bmatrix}, \qquad \mathfrak{a}^\# := \begin{bmatrix}\mathfrak{a}_1^\dagger\\ \vdots\\ \mathfrak{a}_{m/2}^\dagger\end{bmatrix},$$

with the quantum Ito table

$$\mathrm{d}\begin{bmatrix}\mathfrak{a}\\ \mathfrak{a}^\#\end{bmatrix}\mathrm{d}\begin{bmatrix}\mathfrak{a}^\dagger & \mathfrak{a}^{\mathrm{T}}\end{bmatrix} := \begin{bmatrix}\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{a}\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{a}^\dagger & \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{a}\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{a}^{\mathrm{T}}\\ \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{a}^\#\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{a}^\dagger & \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{a}^\#\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{a}^{\mathrm{T}}\end{bmatrix} = \left(\begin{bmatrix}1 & 0\\ 0 & 0\end{bmatrix}\otimes I_{m/2}\right)\mathrm{d}t.$$

Accordingly, the Ito matrix Ω in (9) is described by

$$\Omega = \left(\begin{bmatrix}1 & 1\\ -i & i\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix}1 & 0\\ 0 & 0\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix}1 & 1\\ -i & i\end{bmatrix}^*\right)\otimes I_{m/2} = I_m + iJ, \qquad J := \mathbf{J}\otimes I_{m/2}. \tag{10}$$

Similarly to the CCR matrix Θ in (3) and (4), the matrix $J := \mathrm{Im}\,\Omega \in \mathbb{A}_m$ specifies the cross-commutations between the forward increments of the quantum Wiener processes $W_1,\ldots,W_m$ in the sense that $[\mathrm{d}W, \mathrm{d}W^{\mathrm{T}}] = 2iJ\mathrm{d}t$. In accordance with the evolution (5), the system variables $X_1(t),\ldots,X_n(t)$ at any given time $t\geqslant 0$ act effectively on a tensor product Hilbert space $\mathscr{H}_0\otimes\mathscr{F}_t$, where $\mathscr{H}_0$ is the initial complex separable Hilbert space of the system (for the action of the operators $X_1(0),\ldots,X_n(0)$), and $\mathscr{F}_t$ is the Fock filtration. The structure of the QSDE (5), specified by (6)–(8), comes from the Heisenberg unitary evolution on the system-field composite space $\mathscr{H} := \mathscr{H}_0\otimes\mathscr{F}$ described by the quantum stochastic flow

$$X(t) = U(t)^\dagger(X(0)\otimes\mathscr{I}_{\mathscr{F}})U(t), \tag{11}$$

where the unitary operator $U(t)$ satisfies the initial condition $U(0) = \mathscr{I}_{\mathscr{H}}$ and is governed by a stochastic Schrödinger equation

$$\mathrm{d}U(t) = -\Big(i\big(h_0(0)\mathrm{d}t + h(0)^{\mathrm{T}}\mathrm{d}W(t)\big) + \frac{1}{2}h(0)^{\mathrm{T}}\Omega h(0)\mathrm{d}t\Big)U(t).$$

The output field, which results from the interaction of the system with the input field, can be represented in a similar form as

$$Y(t) = U(t)^\dagger(\mathscr{I}_{\mathscr{H}_0}\otimes W(t))U(t) \tag{12}$$

except that, with $U(t)$ depending on the past history of the system-field interaction, the right-hand side of (12) involves the current input field variables $W(t)$, which reflects the innovation nature of the quantum Wiener process supported by the continuous tensor product structure of the Fock space [48]. The unitary evolution in (11) and

(12) preserves the CCRs (3) and the commutativity between the system variables and output field variables in time $t \geqslant 0$:

$$[X(t), X(t)^{\mathrm{T}}] = U(t)^\dagger([X(0), X(0)^{\mathrm{T}}] \otimes \mathscr{I}_{\mathscr{F}})U(t) = 2i\Theta U(t)^\dagger \mathscr{I}_{\mathscr{H}_0 \otimes \mathscr{F}} U(t) = 2i\Theta,$$
$$[X(t), Y(t)^{\mathrm{T}}] = U(t)^\dagger [X(0) \otimes \mathscr{I}_{\mathscr{F}}, \mathscr{I}_{\mathscr{H}_0} \otimes W(t)^{\mathrm{T}}] U(t) = 0,$$

where the entries of $X(0)$ commute with those of $W(t)$ as operators on different spaces. More general adapted processes ξ, which are functions of the system variables, are governed by QSDEs with the same structure as (5)–(6):

$$\mathrm{d}\xi = \mathscr{L}(\xi)\mathrm{d}t - i[\xi, h^{\mathrm{T}}]\mathrm{d}W. \tag{13}$$

This property is closely related to the Ito corrected version of the Leibniz product rule for the superoperator $\mathscr{D}$ in (8) acting on quantum adapted processes ξ and η:

$$(\mathscr{D}(\xi\eta) - \mathscr{D}(\xi)\eta + \xi\mathscr{D}(\eta))\mathrm{d}t = -\sum_{j,k=1}^{m} \omega_{jk}[\xi, h_j][\eta, h_k]\mathrm{d}t = -[\xi, h^{\mathrm{T}}]\Omega[\eta, h]\mathrm{d}t = \mathrm{d}\xi\,\mathrm{d}\eta.$$

4. Weyl quantization of the Hamiltonian and coupling operators

For what follows, we assume that the system Hamiltonian h_0 and the system-field coupling operators $h_1, \ldots, h_m$ in (6) (as functions of the system variables $X_1, \ldots, X_n$) are obtained from real-valued functions on $\mathbb{R}^n$ through the Weyl quantization [10]:

$$h_k := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} H_k(u)\mathscr{W}_u \mathrm{d}u, \qquad k = 0, 1, \ldots, m, \tag{14}$$

where $\mathscr{W}_u$ is the Weyl operator from (2). The Fourier transforms $H_k : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{C}$ of the original classical functions are Hermitian (that is, $H_k(-u) = \overline{H_k(u)}$ for all $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$), thus ensuring self-adjointness of the operators h_k in (14) since $\mathscr{W}_u = \mathscr{W}_{-u}^\dagger$. We assemble the functions $H_1, \ldots, H_m$ into a vector-valued map $H : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{C}^m$, in terms of which the vector h of coupling operators in (6) is expressed as

$$h = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} H(u)\mathscr{W}_u \mathrm{d}u, \qquad H := \begin{bmatrix} H_1 \\ \vdots \\ H_m \end{bmatrix}. \tag{15}$$

Due to the unitarity of the Weyl operator $\mathscr{W}_u$ for any $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the integral in (14) can be understood as a Bochner integral [67] in the case when the function H_k is absolutely integrable: $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |H_k(u)|\mathrm{d}u < +\infty$. However, the Fourier transforms H_k can, in principle, be generalized functions [57], in which case, the integration in (14) is endowed with an appropriate distributional meaning. This includes (but is not limited to) the class of polynomials h_k. For example, suppose the Hamiltonian is a quadratic function and the coupling operators are linear functions of the system variables:

$$h_0 := b^{\mathrm{T}}X + \frac{1}{2}X^{\mathrm{T}}RX, \tag{16}$$
$$h := NX, \tag{17}$$

where $b := (b_j)_{1 \leqslant j \leqslant n} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $R := (r_{jk})_{1 \leqslant j,k \leqslant n} \in \mathbb{S}_n$ and $N \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$. These energy operators can be represented in the form (14), (15) with

$$H_0(u) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \Big(ib_j \partial_{u_j}\delta(u) - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{k=1}^{n} r_{jk}\partial_{u_j}\partial_{u_k}\delta(u) \Big) = ib^{\mathrm{T}}\delta'(u) - \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}(R\delta''(u)), \tag{18}$$
$$H(u) = iN\delta'(u), \tag{19}$$

where $\delta(\cdot)$ is the n-dimensional Dirac delta function with the gradient δ' and the Hessian matrix δ''. In this case, the system being considered is an n-dimensional open quantum harmonic oscillator [8, 11] governed by a linear QSDE

$$\mathrm{d}X = (AX + 2\Theta b)\mathrm{d}t + B\mathrm{d}W, \tag{20}$$

where the matrices of coefficients $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ are computed in terms of the matrices R and N from (16) and (17) as

$$A := 2\Theta(R + N^{\mathrm{T}}JN) = 2\Theta R - \frac{1}{2}BJB^{\mathrm{T}}\Theta^{-1}, \qquad B := 2\Theta N^{\mathrm{T}}, \tag{21}$$

with the second representation of A being valid if $\det\Theta \neq 0$. The following lemma employs the Weyl quantization (14) in order to represent the drift vector f and the dispersion matrix g of the general QSDE (5) in a similar form.

Lemma 1. *Suppose the Hamiltonian h_0 and the coupling operators $h_1,\ldots,h_m$ are given by (14). Then the drift vector f and the dispersion matrix g of the QSDE (5) in (6) can also be represented in the Weyl quantization form:*

$$f = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} F(u)\mathscr{W}_u \mathrm{d}u, \qquad g = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G(u)\mathscr{W}_u \mathrm{d}u. \tag{22}$$

Here, $F:\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{C}^n$ and $G:\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{C}^{n\times m}$ are Hermitian functions which are computed in terms of the Fourier transforms H_0 and H from (14) and (15) and an auxiliary function $K:\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{R}^{m\times m}$ as

$$F(u) := 2i\Theta\Big(H_0(u)u + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} vH(v)^{\mathrm{T}}K(u,v)H(u-v)\mathrm{d}v\Big), \tag{23}$$

$$K(u,v) := \mathrm{Im}\left(\mathrm{e}^{iu^{\mathrm{T}}\Theta v}\Omega\right) = \sin(u^{\mathrm{T}}\Theta v)I_m + \cos(u^{\mathrm{T}}\Theta v)J, \tag{24}$$

$$G(u) := 2i\Theta u H(u)^{\mathrm{T}}, \tag{25}$$

where Θ is the CCR matrix of the system variables in (3), and Ω is the Ito matrix of the quantum Wiener process from (10). □

Proof. Associated with the Weyl operator $\mathscr{W}_u$ in (2) is a unitary similarity transformation $\mathscr{E}_u$ which acts on an operator ξ on the Hilbert space $\mathscr{H}$ as

$$\mathscr{E}_u(\xi) := \mathscr{W}_u\xi\mathscr{W}_{-u} = \mathrm{e}^{i\mathrm{ad}_{u^{\mathrm{T}}X}}(\xi), \tag{26}$$

where use is made of a well-known identity for operator exponentials [40, 63]. The commutator with the Weyl operator can be represented in terms of $\mathscr{E}_u$ as

$$[\mathscr{W}_u,\xi] = (\mathscr{E}_u(\xi)-\xi)\mathscr{W}_u. \tag{27}$$

Since the CCRs (3) imply that $[u^{\mathrm{T}}X,X] = -[X,u^{\mathrm{T}}X] = -[X,X^{\mathrm{T}}]u = -2i\Theta u$, the entrywise application of the superoperator $\mathscr{E}_u$ in (26) to the vector X of system variables leads to

$$\mathscr{E}_u(X) = X + 2\Theta u, \tag{28}$$

and hence, in view of (27),

$$[\mathscr{W}_u,X] = (\mathscr{E}_u(X)-X)\mathscr{W}_u = 2\Theta u\mathscr{W}_u. \tag{29}$$

The identity (28) is closely related to the property that $\mathscr{W}_v$ is an eigenoperator of the superoperator $\mathscr{E}_u$ with the eigenvalue $\mathrm{e}^{-2iu^{\mathrm{T}}\Theta v}$ for any $u,v\in\mathbb{R}^n$:

$$\mathrm{e}^{iv^{\mathrm{T}}\mathscr{E}_u(X)} = \mathscr{E}_u(\mathscr{W}_v) = \mathrm{e}^{2iv^{\mathrm{T}}\Theta u}\mathscr{W}_v, \tag{30}$$

where the first equality follows from $\mathscr{E}_u$ being a similarity transformation, while the second equality is obtained from the Weyl CCRs in (1) and the antisymmetry of the matrix Θ. By combining (15) and the bilinearity of the commutator with (29), it follows that the dispersion matrix g in (6) takes the form

$$g = -i\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}[X,\mathscr{W}_u]H(u)^{\mathrm{T}}\mathrm{d}u = 2i\Theta\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} uH(u)^{\mathrm{T}}\mathscr{W}_u\mathrm{d}u, \tag{31}$$

which establishes the second representation in (22), where G is given by (25). The function G inherits the Hermitian property ($G(-u)=\overline{G(u)}$ for all $u\in\mathbb{R}^n$) from H. We will now obtain the first equality in (22). To this end, the term $i[h_0,X]$ of the drift vector f in (6), associated with the internal dynamics (which the system would have in isolation from its environment), can be represented as

$$i[h_0,X] = i\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} H_0(u)[\mathscr{W}_u,X]\mathrm{d}u = 2i\Theta\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} H_0(u)u\mathscr{W}_u\mathrm{d}u. \tag{32}$$

In order to compute the GKSL decoherence term $\mathscr{D}(X)$ of the drift vector f according to (8), a combination of (15) with (31) leads to

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{j,k=1}^m \omega_{jk}[h_j,X]h_k &= -[X,h^{\mathrm{T}}]\Omega h = -ig\Omega h\\ &= 2\Theta\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} uH(u)^{\mathrm{T}}\mathscr{W}_u\mathrm{d}u\Omega\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} H(v)\mathscr{W}_v\mathrm{d}v = 2\Theta\int_{\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^n} uH(u)^{\mathrm{T}}\Omega H(v)\mathscr{W}_u\mathscr{W}_v\mathrm{d}u\mathrm{d}v\\ &= 2\Theta\int_{\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^n} uH(u)^{\mathrm{T}}\Omega H(v)\mathrm{e}^{-iu^{\mathrm{T}}\Theta v}\mathscr{W}_{u+v}\mathrm{d}u\mathrm{d}v = 2\Theta\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} Q(u)\mathscr{W}_u\mathrm{d}u,\end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

where $Q:\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{C}^n$ is an auxiliary function defined by

$$Q(u) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} vH(v)^{\mathrm{T}}\Omega H(u-v)\mathrm{e}^{iu^{\mathrm{T}}\Theta v}\mathrm{d}v. \tag{34}$$

In (33), the Weyl CCRs (1) are combined with a standard measure-preserving transformation of integration variables $(u,v)\mapsto(w,u)$ in convolutions, with $w:=u+v$, along with the relations $u^{\mathrm{T}}\Theta v=u^{\mathrm{T}}\Theta(w-u)=u^{\mathrm{T}}\Theta w=-w^{\mathrm{T}}\Theta u$ following from the antisymmetry of the CCR matrix Θ. Due to self-adjointness of the system variables, the superoperator $\mathscr{D}$ in (8) can be evaluated at the vector X by taking the operator real part of (33) as

$$\mathscr{D}(X)=-\mathrm{Re}\big([X,h^{\mathrm{T}}]\Omega h\big)=\Theta\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}Q(u)\mathscr{W}_u\mathrm{d}u+\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}Q(u)\mathscr{W}_u\mathrm{d}u\Big)^{\#}\Big)=\Theta\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\big(Q(u)+\overline{Q(-u)}\big)\mathscr{W}_u\mathrm{d}u. \tag{35}$$

The Hermitian property of the function H implies that the function Q in (34) satisfies the identity

$$\overline{Q(-u)}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}vH(-v)^{\mathrm{T}}\overline{\Omega}H(u+v)\mathrm{e}^{iu^{\mathrm{T}}\Theta v}\mathrm{d}v=-\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}vH(v)^{\mathrm{T}}\overline{\Omega}H(u-v)\mathrm{e}^{-iu^{\mathrm{T}}\Theta v}\mathrm{d}v,$$

and hence,

$$Q(u)+\overline{Q(-u)}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}vH(v)^{\mathrm{T}}\big(\mathrm{e}^{iu^{\mathrm{T}}\Theta v}\Omega-\mathrm{e}^{-iu^{\mathrm{T}}\Theta v}\overline{\Omega}\big)H(u-v)\mathrm{d}v=2i\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}vH(v)^{\mathrm{T}}K(u,v)H(u-v)\mathrm{d}v, \tag{36}$$

where the matrix-valued function K is given by (24). It now remains to substitute (36) into (35) and assemble the resulting decoherence term and the internal dynamics term from (32) into the drift vector f in (6):

$$f=\Theta\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\Big(2iH_0(u)u+Q(u)+\overline{Q(-u)}\Big)\mathscr{W}_u\mathrm{d}u=2i\Theta\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\Big(H_0(u)u+\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}vH(v)^{\mathrm{T}}K(u,v)H(u-v)\mathrm{d}v\Big)\mathscr{W}_u\mathrm{d}u.$$

This establishes the first of the equalities in (22), where the function F is given by (23) and inherits the Hermitian property from H_0 and H in view of the relation $K(-u,v)^{\mathrm{T}}=-K(u,v)$, thus completing the proof of the lemma. ■

Lemma 1 allows the right-hand side of the QSDE (5) to be decomposed into a "linear combination" of the Weyl operators $\mathscr{W}_u$, which depend on time through X and play the role of spatial harmonics with different "wavevectors" $u\in\mathbb{R}^n$:

$$\mathrm{d}X=\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}(F(u)\mathrm{d}t+G(u)\mathrm{d}W)\mathscr{W}_u\mathrm{d}u. \tag{37}$$

The coefficients of this combination are driven by the quantum Wiener process W. We have also used the commutativity between adapted processes and future-pointing Ito increments of W.

5. Classical limit of the governing QSDE

The QSDE (5), whose drift and dispersion are computed in Lemma 1, can be related to its classical counterpart by taking into account the reduced Planck constant $\hbar$ as a small parameter. To this end, let the CCR matrix Θ in (3) and the matrix J in (10) be given by

$$\Theta=\frac{\hbar}{2}\Xi,\qquad J=\frac{\hbar}{2}\Upsilon, \tag{38}$$

where $\Xi\in\mathbb{A}_n$ and $\Upsilon\in\mathbb{A}_m$ are fixed symplectic structure matrices (for example, $\Xi=\mathbf{J}\otimes I_{n/2}$ in accordance with (4)). The internal dynamics, decoherence and dispersion terms in (6) and (8) are appropriately rescaled:

$$\mathrm{d}X=f_\hbar\mathrm{d}t+g_\hbar\mathrm{d}W,\qquad f_\hbar:=\frac{1}{\hbar}\Big(i[h_0,X]+\frac{1}{\hbar}\mathscr{D}(X)\Big),\qquad g_\hbar:=-\frac{i}{\hbar}[X,h^{\mathrm{T}}]. \tag{39}$$

The scaling of the decoherence superoperator $\mathscr{D}$ comes from its quadratic dependence on the coupling operators $h_1,\ldots,h_m$. By letting $\hbar\to0$ in (38), the drift vector $f_\hbar$ and the dispersion matrix $g_\hbar$ of the QSDE (39) have formal classical limits

$$f_0:=\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}F_0(u)\mathscr{W}_u\mathrm{d}u,\qquad g_0:=\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}G_0(u)\mathscr{W}_u\mathrm{d}u \tag{40}$$

which are the inverse Fourier transforms of the corresponding limits of the appropriately rescaled functions F and G from (23) and (25):

$$F_0(u):=i\Xi\Big(H_0(u)u+\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}vH(v)^{\mathrm{T}}\big(u^{\mathrm{T}}\Xi vI_m+\Upsilon\big)H(u-v)\mathrm{d}v\Big),\qquad G_0(u):=i\Xi uH(u)^{\mathrm{T}}, \tag{41}$$

with $\mathscr{W}_u$ in (40) being the usual exponential function $\mathrm{e}^{iu^{\mathrm{T}}X}$. The functions F_0 and G_0 are the Fourier transforms of the functions

$$f_0=\Xi\Big(h_0'+\frac{1}{2}h'^{\mathrm{T}}\Upsilon h-\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{div}(h'^{\mathrm{T}}h'\Xi)\Big)=\Xi\Big(h_0'+\frac{1}{2}h'^{\mathrm{T}}\Upsilon h\Big)+\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{div}(g_0g_0^{\mathrm{T}}),\qquad g_0=\Xi h'^{\mathrm{T}}, \tag{42}$$

where $h_0':\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{R}^n$ is the gradient of the classical Hamiltonian h_0, and $h':\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{R}^{m\times n}$ is the Jacobian matrix of the vector h of classical coupling functions $h_1,\ldots,h_m$ whose Weyl quantization is used in Section 4. Therefore, the formal classical limit of the QSDE (39) is an Ito SDE

$$\mathrm{d}X=f_0(X)\mathrm{d}t+g_0(X)\mathrm{d}W \tag{43}$$

for a Markov diffusion process X in $\mathbb{R}^n$ driven by an m-dimensional standard Wiener process with the identity Ito matrix. If the noncommutativity of the quantum Wiener process W in (39) is made vanish faster than that of the system variables in the sense that $J = o(\hbar)$ as $\hbar \to 0$, then $\Upsilon = 0$ and the term $\frac{1}{2}h'^{\mathrm{T}}\Upsilon h$ disappears from the drift vector f_0 in (42). In this case, the limit SDE (43) takes the form

$$\mathrm{d}X = \Xi\Big(\Big(h_0' - \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{div}(h'^{\mathrm{T}}h'\Xi)\Big)\mathrm{d}t + h'^{\mathrm{T}}\mathrm{d}W\Big). \tag{44}$$

This describes a classical stochastic Hamiltonian system with a canonical flow [13, 18] which commutes with the Poisson bracket $\{\varphi,\psi\} := \varphi'^{\mathrm{T}}\Xi\psi'$ in the sense that

$$\mathrm{d}\{\varphi,\psi\} = \{\mathrm{d}\varphi,\psi\} + \{\varphi,\mathrm{d}\psi\} + \{\mathrm{d}\varphi,\mathrm{d}\psi\} \tag{45}$$

for any smooth functions φ and ψ on $\mathbb{R}^n$. Here, $\{\varphi,\psi\}$ on the left-hand side and φ, ψ on the right-hand side are evaluated at X as a time-varying random function of the initial value $x := X_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Also, the differential operators act over x, and $\mathrm{d}\varphi = \mathscr{G}(\varphi)\mathrm{d}t + \varphi'^{\mathrm{T}}g_0\mathrm{d}W$, where $\mathscr{G}$ is the infinitesimal generator of X which maps φ to $\mathscr{G}(\varphi) := f_0^{\mathrm{T}}\varphi' + \frac{1}{2}\langle g_0 g_0^{\mathrm{T}}, \varphi''\rangle_{\mathrm{F}}$. Indeed, the columns of the dispersion matrix g_0 in (42) are Hamiltonian (and hence, divergenceless) vector fields $\Xi h_1', \ldots, \Xi h_m'$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Therefore, $\mathrm{div}(g_0 g_0^{\mathrm{T}}) = -\Xi\mathrm{div}(h'^{\mathrm{T}}h'\Xi) = \Xi\sum_{k=1}^m h_k''\Xi h_k'$, which implies the canonicity of the SDE (44) in view of the results of [13, 18]. However, the Weyl quantization framework, employed in the present paper, allows the canonical property to be established directly in the spatial frequency domain. More precisely, it suffices to verify (45) for the exponential functions $\varphi(x) := \mathrm{e}^{iu^{\mathrm{T}}x}$ and $\psi(x) := \mathrm{e}^{iv^{\mathrm{T}}x}$ with arbitrary $u, v \in \mathbb{R}^n$:

$$\mathrm{d}\{\mathscr{W}_u, \mathscr{W}_v\} = \{\mathrm{d}\mathscr{W}_u, \mathscr{W}_v\} + \{\mathscr{W}_u, \mathrm{d}\mathscr{W}_v\} + \{\mathrm{d}\mathscr{W}_u, \mathrm{d}\mathscr{W}_v\}. \tag{46}$$

In accordance with the the Doleans-Dade stochastic exponential [5, 35], the Ito differential of $\mathscr{W}_u$ can be computed as

$$\mathrm{d}\mathscr{W}_u = u^{\mathrm{T}}\Big(\Big(if_0 - \frac{1}{2}g_0 g_0^{\mathrm{T}}u\Big)\mathrm{d}t + ig_0\mathrm{d}W\Big)\mathscr{W}_u = u^{\mathrm{T}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\Big(\Big(iF_0(s) - \frac{1}{2}C(s)u\Big)\mathrm{d}t + iG_0(s)\mathrm{d}W\Big)\mathscr{W}_{s+u}\mathrm{d}s. \tag{47}$$

Here, use is made of the quadratic variation $(u^{\mathrm{T}}\mathrm{d}X)^2 = u^{\mathrm{T}}g_0 g_0^{\mathrm{T}}u\mathrm{d}t = |g_0^{\mathrm{T}}u|^2\mathrm{d}t$ of the process $u^{\mathrm{T}}X$ together with (40), and the convolution

$$C(s) := (G_0 * G_0^{\mathrm{T}})(s) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G_0(r)G_0(s-r)^{\mathrm{T}}\mathrm{d}r = \Xi\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} rH(r)^{\mathrm{T}}H(s-r)(s-r)^{\mathrm{T}}\mathrm{d}r\Xi \tag{48}$$

is the Fourier transform of the diffusion matrix map $g_0 g_0^{\mathrm{T}} : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{S}_n^+$ for the SDE (44) in view of (41). A combination of the Poisson bracket $\{\mathrm{e}^{iu^{\mathrm{T}}x}, \mathrm{e}^{iv^{\mathrm{T}}x}\} = -u^{\mathrm{T}}\Xi v\mathrm{e}^{i(u+v)^{\mathrm{T}}x}$ with (47) leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{d}\{\mathscr{W}_u, \mathscr{W}_v\} &= -u^{\mathrm{T}}\Xi v\mathrm{d}\mathscr{W}_{u+v}\\
&= -u^{\mathrm{T}}\Xi v(u+v)^{\mathrm{T}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\Big(\Big(iF_0(s) - \frac{1}{2}C(s)(u+v)\Big)\mathrm{d}t + iG_0(s)\mathrm{d}W\Big)\mathscr{W}_{s+u+v}\mathrm{d}s, &(49)\\
\{\mathrm{d}\mathscr{W}_u, \mathscr{W}_v\} &= u^{\mathrm{T}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\Big(\Big(iF_0(s) - \frac{1}{2}C(s)u\Big)\mathrm{d}t + iG_0(s)\mathrm{d}W\Big)\{\mathscr{W}_{s+u}, \mathscr{W}_v\}\mathrm{d}s\\
&= -u^{\mathrm{T}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\Big(\Big(iF_0(s) - \frac{1}{2}C(s)u\Big)\mathrm{d}t + iG_0(s)\mathrm{d}W\Big)(s+u)^{\mathrm{T}}\Xi v\mathscr{W}_{s+u+v}\mathrm{d}s, &(50)\\
\{\mathscr{W}_u, \mathrm{d}\mathscr{W}_v\} &= v^{\mathrm{T}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\Big(\Big(iF_0(s) - \frac{1}{2}C(s)v\Big)\mathrm{d}t + iG_0(s)\mathrm{d}W\Big)\{\mathscr{W}_u, \mathscr{W}_{s+v}\}\mathrm{d}s\\
&= -v^{\mathrm{T}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\Big(\Big(iF_0(s) - \frac{1}{2}C(s)v\Big)\mathrm{d}t + iG_0(s)\mathrm{d}W\Big)u^{\mathrm{T}}\Xi(s+v)\mathscr{W}_{s+u+v}\mathrm{d}s, &(51)\\
\{\mathrm{d}\mathscr{W}_u, \mathrm{d}\mathscr{W}_v\} &= -u^{\mathrm{T}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^n} G_0(r)G_0(s)^{\mathrm{T}}\{\mathscr{W}_{r+u}, \mathscr{W}_{s+v}\}\mathrm{d}r\mathrm{d}s\,v\mathrm{d}t\\
&= u^{\mathrm{T}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^n} G_0(r)G_0(s)^{\mathrm{T}}(r+u)^{\mathrm{T}}\Xi(s+v)\mathscr{W}_{r+s+u+v}\mathrm{d}r\mathrm{d}s\,v\mathrm{d}t\\
&= u^{\mathrm{T}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\Xi\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} rH(r)^{\mathrm{T}}H(s-r)(s-r)^{\mathrm{T}}\Xi(r+u)^{\mathrm{T}}\Xi(s-r+v)\mathrm{d}r\Big)\mathscr{W}_{s+u+v}\mathrm{d}s\,v\mathrm{d}t. &(52)
\end{aligned}$$

By assembling the drift and diffusion terms in (49)–(52) and considering the Fourier coefficients, it follows that (46) is equivalent to the fulfillment of the relations

$$0 = (s^{\mathrm{T}}\Xi v u^{\mathrm{T}} + u^{\mathrm{T}}\Xi s v^{\mathrm{T}})G_0(s), \tag{53}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 =& i(s^{\mathrm{T}}\Xi v u^{\mathrm{T}} + u^{\mathrm{T}}\Xi s v^{\mathrm{T}})F_0(s) + \frac{1}{2}\left\langle uv^{\mathrm{T}}\Xi s u^{\mathrm{T}} + vs^{\mathrm{T}}\Xi u v^{\mathrm{T}} + 2uu^{\mathrm{T}}\Xi v v^{\mathrm{T}}, C(s)\right\rangle_{\mathrm{F}} \\ & - u^{\mathrm{T}}\Xi \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} rH(r)^{\mathrm{T}}H(s-r)(s-r)^{\mathrm{T}}\Xi v(r+u)^{\mathrm{T}}\Xi(s-r+v)\mathrm{d}r \end{aligned} \tag{54}$$

for all $s,u,v \in \mathbb{R}^n$, where use is also made of the symmetry of the matrix $C(s)$ in (48). Now, the functions F_0, G_0 in (41) indeed satisfy (53), (54) because $(s^{\mathrm{T}}\Xi v u^{\mathrm{T}} + u^{\mathrm{T}}\Xi s v^{\mathrm{T}})\Xi s = (s^{\mathrm{T}}\Xi v + v^{\mathrm{T}}\Xi s)u^{\mathrm{T}}\Xi s = 0$ in view of the antisymmetry of Ξ, and

$$\begin{aligned} & -(s^{\mathrm{T}}\Xi v u^{\mathrm{T}} + u^{\mathrm{T}}\Xi s v^{\mathrm{T}})\Xi \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} rH(r)^{\mathrm{T}}H(s-r)s^{\mathrm{T}}\Xi r\mathrm{d}r \\ & \quad + \left\langle uv^{\mathrm{T}}\Xi s u^{\mathrm{T}} + vs^{\mathrm{T}}\Xi u v^{\mathrm{T}} + 2uu^{\mathrm{T}}\Xi v v^{\mathrm{T}}, \Xi \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} rH(r)^{\mathrm{T}}H(s-r)(s-r)^{\mathrm{T}}\mathrm{d}r\Xi \right\rangle_{\mathrm{F}} \\ & \quad - 2u^{\mathrm{T}}\Xi \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} rH(r)^{\mathrm{T}}H(s-r)(s-r)^{\mathrm{T}}\Xi v(r+u)^{\mathrm{T}}\Xi(s-r+v)\mathrm{d}r = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi(r,s,u,v)H(r)^{\mathrm{T}}H(s-r)\mathrm{d}r = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The last integral vanishes since $H(r)^{\mathrm{T}}H(s-r)$ is invariant, while the following function is antisymmetric, under the transformation $r \mapsto s-r$:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(r,s,u,v) :=& -(s^{\mathrm{T}}\Xi v u^{\mathrm{T}} + u^{\mathrm{T}}\Xi s v^{\mathrm{T}})\Xi r s^{\mathrm{T}}\Xi r \\ & + (s-r)^{\mathrm{T}}\Xi(uv^{\mathrm{T}}\Xi s u^{\mathrm{T}} + vs^{\mathrm{T}}\Xi u v^{\mathrm{T}} - 2vv^{\mathrm{T}}\Xi u u^{\mathrm{T}})\Xi r \\ & - 2u^{\mathrm{T}}\Xi r(s-r)^{\mathrm{T}}\Xi v(r+u)^{\mathrm{T}}\Xi(s-r+v) = -\phi(s-r,s,u,v). \end{aligned}$$

6. Quasi-characteristic function and generalized moments

We now return to the quantum system. Its averaged behaviour can be described in terms of the quasi-characteristic function (QCF) [4, 43] defined by

$$\Phi(t,u) := \mathbf{E}\mathscr{W}_u(t) = \overline{\Phi(t,-u)}, \qquad t \geqslant 0,\ u \in \mathbb{R}^n, \tag{55}$$

where $\mathscr{W}_u(t)$ is the Weyl operator (2) at time t. We assume that the quantum expectation is over the density operator $\rho := \varpi \otimes \upsilon$, where ϖ is the initial quantum state of the system, and υ is the vacuum state of the external bosonic fields [46]. The QCF $\Phi : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{C}$ encodes the mixed moments of the system variables:

$$\mathbf{E}(X(t)^\alpha) = (-i\partial_u)^\alpha \mathbf{E}\overrightarrow{\prod_{k=1}^n} \mathrm{e}^{iu_kX_k(t)}\Big|_{u=0} = (-i\partial_u)^\alpha\Big(\Phi(t,u)\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{i}{2}u^{\mathrm{T}}\widetilde{\Theta}u}\Big)\Big|_{u=0} \tag{56}$$

for any n-index $\alpha := (\alpha_k)_{1\leqslant k\leqslant n} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ such that Φ is $|\alpha|$ times continuously differentiable with respect to $u := (u_k)_{1\leqslant k\leqslant n} \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Here, $\widetilde{\Theta} := (\widetilde{\theta}_{jk})_{1\leqslant j,k\leqslant n} \in \mathbb{S}_n$ is an auxiliary matrix which is defined by

$$\widetilde{\theta}_{jk} := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if} \quad j = k \\ \theta_{jk} & \text{if} \quad j < k \\ \theta_{kj} & \text{if} \quad j > k \end{cases} \tag{57}$$

and inherits the upper off-diagonal entries of the CCR matrix Θ. Since $\widetilde{\Theta}$ has zero main diagonal (and hence, $\mathrm{Tr}\widetilde{\Theta} = 0$), this matrix is indefinite. For example, if Θ is given by (4), then

$$\widetilde{\Theta} = \frac{1}{2}\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \otimes I_{n/2} \tag{58}$$

is an indefinite matrix with the eigenvalues $\pm\frac{1}{2}$ of multiplicity $\frac{n}{2}$. The relation (56) is obtained by averaging the identity

$$\mathscr{W}_u = \overrightarrow{\prod_{k=1}^n}\Big(\mathscr{W}_{u_ke_k}\mathrm{e}^{i\sum_{j=1}^{k-1}u_je_j^{\mathrm{T}}\Theta e_ku_k}\Big) = \mathrm{e}^{i\sum_{1\leqslant j<k\leqslant n}\theta_{jk}u_ju_k}\overrightarrow{\prod_{k=1}^n}\mathscr{W}_{u_ke_k} = \mathrm{e}^{\frac{i}{2}u^{\mathrm{T}}\widetilde{\Theta}u}\overrightarrow{\prod_{k=1}^n}\mathrm{e}^{iu_kX_k} \tag{59}$$

which is established by repeatedly using the Weyl CCRs (1) in combination with the bilinearity of the commutator, where e_k denotes the kth standard basis vector in $\mathbb{R}^n$, so that $\mathscr{W}_{u_ke_k} = \mathrm{e}^{iu_kX_k}$. Alternatively, (59) can be obtained by repeated application of the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula $\mathrm{e}^{\xi+\eta} = \mathrm{e}^{\xi}\mathrm{e}^{\eta}\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2}[\xi,\eta]}$ for operators ξ and η which

commute with their commutator [11, 63]. In what follows, we will also employ the Wigner quasi-probability density function (QPDF) $\mho : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ which is the Fourier transform of the QCF Φ in (55):

$$\mho(t,x) := (2\pi)^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(t,u) \mathrm{e}^{-iu^{\mathrm{T}}x} \mathrm{d}u, \qquad t \geqslant 0,\ x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \tag{60}$$

The function $\mho$ is real-valued due to the Hermitian property of Φ and satisfies the normalization condition

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mho(t,x) \mathrm{d}x = \Phi(t,0) = 1, \tag{61}$$

thus resembling a PDF in $\mathbb{R}^n$ despite not necessarily being nonnegative everywhere [26]. If $\mho$ is negative on a subset of nonzero Lebesgue measure in $\mathbb{R}^n$, the QCF Φ is not positive definite in view of the Bochner-Khinchin theorem. Although the exponential moments in (55) are related to the QPDF $\mho$ in (60) by the inverse Fourier transform

$$\Phi(t,u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mho(t,x) \mathrm{e}^{iu^{\mathrm{T}}x} \mathrm{d}x, \tag{62}$$

similarly to the classical case, the mixed moments of the system variables in (56) are expressed in terms of $\mho$ in a more complicated fashion as

$$\mathbf{E}(X(t)^\alpha) = (-i\partial_u)^\alpha \Big(\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{i}{2}u^{\mathrm{T}}\widetilde{\Theta}u} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mho(t,x) \mathrm{e}^{iu^{\mathrm{T}}x} \mathrm{d}x \Big)\Big|_{u=0} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Psi_\alpha(x) \mho(t,x) \mathrm{d}x. \tag{63}$$

Here, for any n-index $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$, the function $\Psi_\alpha : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{C}$ is a polynomial of degree $|\alpha|$ defined by

$$\Psi_\alpha(x) := (-i\partial_u)^\alpha \mathrm{e}^{i(u^{\mathrm{T}}x - \frac{1}{2}u^{\mathrm{T}}\widetilde{\Theta}u)}\Big|_{u=0} = \partial_u^\alpha \mathrm{e}^{u^{\mathrm{T}}x + \frac{i}{2}u^{\mathrm{T}}\widetilde{\Theta}u}\Big|_{u=0} = (-1)^{|\alpha|} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{i}{2}z^{\mathrm{T}}\widetilde{\Theta}z} \partial_z^\alpha \mathrm{e}^{\frac{i}{2}z^{\mathrm{T}}\widetilde{\Theta}z}\Big|_{z=i\widetilde{\Theta}^{-1}x}, \tag{64}$$

provided $\det\widetilde{\Theta} \neq 0$, with $\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{i}{2}u^{\mathrm{T}}\widetilde{\Theta}u}$ playing the role of a quantum correcting factor in comparison with moments of classical random variables. In the classical limit (when the system variables $X_1(t), \ldots, X_n(t)$ commute with each other, and hence, $\Theta = \widetilde{\Theta} = 0$ in view of (57), and the function $\mho(t,\cdot)$ in (60) becomes their usual joint PDF), the polynomial $\Psi_\alpha(x)$ reduces to the monomial x^α in accordance with (63). In the noncommutative case being considered, the polynomials Ψ_α in (64) have the generating function

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n} \Psi_\alpha(x) \frac{u^\alpha}{\alpha!} = \mathrm{e}^{u^{\mathrm{T}}x + \frac{i}{2}u^{\mathrm{T}}\widetilde{\Theta}u} \tag{65}$$

and can be regarded as a quantum mechanical modification of the multivariate Hermite polynomials. Although (65) resembles the generating function representation of the standard Hermite polynomials [37], the qualitative difference is that the matrix $\widetilde{\Theta}$ is indefinite (see also the example (58)). The polynomials Ψ_α play a role for more general moments of the system variables. More precisely,

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_u^\alpha \mathscr{W}_u = \partial_v^\alpha \mathscr{W}_{u+v}\Big|_{v=0} &= \partial_v^\alpha \big(\mathrm{e}^{-iu^{\mathrm{T}}\Theta v} \mathscr{W}_v \big)\Big|_{v=0} \mathscr{W}_u = \partial_v^\alpha \Big(\mathrm{e}^{iv^{\mathrm{T}}\Theta u + \frac{i}{2}v^{\mathrm{T}}\widetilde{\Theta}v} \overrightarrow{\prod_{k=1}^n} \mathrm{e}^{iv_k X_k} \Big)\Big|_{v=0} \mathscr{W}_u \\
&= \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n :\, \beta \leqslant \alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} \partial_v^{\alpha-\beta} \mathrm{e}^{iv^{\mathrm{T}}\Theta u + \frac{i}{2}v^{\mathrm{T}}\widetilde{\Theta}v}\Big|_{v=0} \partial_v^\beta \overrightarrow{\prod_{k=1}^n} \mathrm{e}^{iv_k X_k}\Big|_{v=0} \mathscr{W}_u \\
&= \alpha! \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n :\, \beta \leqslant \alpha} \frac{i^{|\beta|}}{\beta!(\alpha-\beta)!} \Psi_{\alpha-\beta}(i\Theta u) X^\beta \mathscr{W}_u,
\end{aligned} \tag{66}$$

which follows from the Weyl CCRs (1), the factorization (59) and the Leibniz product rule combined with (64), with the multiindex inequality $\beta \leqslant \alpha$ being understood entrywise. The averaging of both parts of (66) leads to

$$\partial_u^\alpha \Phi = \alpha! \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n :\, \beta \leqslant \alpha} \frac{i^{|\beta|}}{\beta!(\alpha-\beta)!} \Psi_{\alpha-\beta}(i\Theta u) \mathbf{E}(X^\beta \mathscr{W}_u), \tag{67}$$

which is another moment identity for the QCF Φ involving quasi-polynomials of the system variables, thus extending (56). In particular, by considering (66) and (67) for those n multiindices $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ which satisfy $|\alpha| = 1$ (and can be represented as $\alpha = e_j$ for $j = 1, \ldots, n$), it follows that

$$\partial_u \mathscr{W}_u = \partial_v \mathrm{e}^{iv^{\mathrm{T}}(X+\Theta u)}\Big|_{v=0} \mathscr{W}_u = i(X + \Theta u)\mathscr{W}_u. \tag{68}$$

Hence, the gradient $\partial_u \Phi$ and the Hessian matrix $\partial_u^2 \Phi$ of the QCF Φ with respect to u satisfy the identities

$$\partial_u \Phi = i(\mathbf{E}(X\mathscr{W}_u) + \Phi\Theta u), \qquad \partial_u^2 \Phi = i\Phi\Theta - \mathbf{E}\big((X + \Theta u)(X + \Theta u)^{\mathrm{T}} \mathscr{W}_u\big), \tag{69}$$

whereby the mean vector μ of the system variables and the real part Σ of their quantum covariance matrix

$$\mathbf{cov}(X) = \mathbf{E}(XX^{\mathrm{T}}) - \mu\mu^{\mathrm{T}} = \Sigma + i\Theta \tag{70}$$

can be represented in terms of the QPDF $\mho$ by the same relations as for classical random variables:

$$\mu(t) := \mathbf{E}X(t) = -i\partial_u\Phi(t,u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} x\mho(t,x)\mathrm{d}x, \tag{71}$$

$$\Sigma(t) := \mathrm{Re}\,\mathbf{cov}(X(t)) = -\partial_u^2\Phi(t,u) - \mu\mu^{\mathrm{T}} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} xx^{\mathrm{T}}\mho(t,x)\mathrm{d}x - \mu\mu^{\mathrm{T}}. \tag{72}$$

Furthermore, the QCF Φ in (55) can be used for evaluating generalized moments of the system variables involving nonlinear (but not necessarily polynomial) functions in the Weyl quantization form:

$$\mathbf{E}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sigma(u)\mathscr{W}_u(t)\mathrm{d}u = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sigma(u)\Phi(t,u)\mathrm{d}u, \tag{73}$$

where $\sigma : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{C}$ is a given function which specifies the moment under consideration (and can be a generalized function as discussed before). For example, the moments of the form (73) drive the mean vector of the system variables:

$$\dot{\mu}(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} F(u)\Phi(t,u)\mathrm{d}u, \tag{74}$$

which is obtained by averaging the QSDE (37), with the martingale part $g\mathrm{d}W$ not contributing to the time derivative of the average. The ODE (74) is not algebraically closed since, in general, the mean vector μ does not specify the QCF Φ uniquely.

7. Integro-differential equation for the quasi-characteristic function

In contrast to the dynamics of the system variables described by the nonlinear QSDE (5), the time evolution of the QCF Φ is governed by a linear equation.

Theorem 1. *Suppose the system Hamiltonian h_0 and the system-field coupling operators $h_1,\ldots,h_m$ are given by (14). Then the QCF Φ of the system variables, defined by (55), satisfies a linear IDE*

$$\partial_t\Phi(t,u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} V(u,s)\Phi(t,u+s)\mathrm{d}s. \tag{75}$$

The kernel function $V : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{C}$ of the integral operator is computed in terms of the functions F, G from (23), (25) as

$$\begin{aligned} V(u,s) :=& i\,\mathrm{sinc}(u^{\mathrm{T}}\Theta s)u^{\mathrm{T}}F(s) - u^{\mathrm{T}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G(v)L(u,v,s)G(s-v)^{\mathrm{T}}\mathrm{d}v u \\ =& -2\sin(u^{\mathrm{T}}\Theta s)H_0(s) - 2\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sin(u^{\mathrm{T}}\Theta v)H(v)^{\mathrm{T}}K(u+v,v-s)H(s-v)\mathrm{d}v, \end{aligned} \tag{76}$$

where H_0 and H are the Fourier transforms from (14) and (15), the function K is given by (24), and $L : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^{m\times m}$ is defined in terms of another auxiliary function $M : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{C}$ by

$$L(u,v,s) := \mathrm{Re}\left(M(u,v,s-v)\mathrm{e}^{is^{\mathrm{T}}\Theta v}\Omega\right) = \mathrm{Re}\,M(u,v,s-v)\mathrm{Re}\left(\mathrm{e}^{is^{\mathrm{T}}\Theta v}\Omega\right) - \mathrm{Im}\,M(u,v,s-v)K(s,v), \tag{77}$$

$$M(u,v,w) := \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{sinc}(u^{\mathrm{T}}\Theta v)\mathrm{sinc}(u^{\mathrm{T}}\Theta w) + i\,\frac{u^{\mathrm{T}}\Theta(v-w)(\mathrm{sinc}(u^{\mathrm{T}}\Theta(v-w)) - \mathrm{sinc}(u^{\mathrm{T}}\Theta(v+w)))}{4u^{\mathrm{T}}\Theta v\,u^{\mathrm{T}}\Theta w}. \tag{78}$$

□

Proof. For any fixed but otherwise arbitrary $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$, application of the QSDE (13) to the Weyl operator $\mathscr{W}_u$ leads to

$$\mathrm{d}\mathscr{W}_u = \mathscr{L}(\mathscr{W}_u)\mathrm{d}t - i[\mathscr{W}_u, h^{\mathrm{T}}]\mathrm{d}W. \tag{79}$$

This QSDE extends (5) in the sense that the terms f and g of the latter QSDE, described by (6), can be recovered from (79) as operator-valued coefficients of the linear parts of the formal power series $\mathscr{L}(\mathscr{W}_u) = iu^{\mathrm{T}}f + o(u)$ and $-i[\mathscr{W}_u, h^{\mathrm{T}}] = iu^{\mathrm{T}}g + o(u)$, where $o(u)$ consists of higher-order monomials of u. The averaging of the QSDE (79) (to which the martingale part $-i[\mathscr{W}_u, h^{\mathrm{T}}]\mathrm{d}W$ does not contribute) yields the following IDE for the QCF Φ in (55):

$$\partial_t\Phi(t,u) = \mathbf{E}\mathscr{L}(\mathscr{W}_u(t)). \tag{80}$$

Although the drift of the QSDE (79) can be computed directly through (7) and (8), we will follow a slightly longer path based on applying [59, Lemma 2] to the quantum adapted process $iu^{\mathrm{T}}X$ satisfying the QSDE $\mathrm{d}(iu^{\mathrm{T}}X) = iu^{\mathrm{T}}f\mathrm{d}t + iu^{\mathrm{T}}g\mathrm{d}W$ in view of (5), which leads to the representation

$$\mathscr{L}(\mathscr{W}_u) = \mathscr{W}_{u/2}\mathscr{A}_u\mathscr{W}_{u/2}. \tag{81}$$

Here, $\mathscr{A}_u$ is an adapted quantum process which is defined in terms of the drift vector f and the dispersion matrix g as

$$\mathscr{A}_u := iu^{\mathrm{T}} \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \Big(\mathscr{E}_{ru}(f) + i\mathscr{E}_{ru}(g)\Omega \int_r^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathscr{E}_{zu}(g)^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{d}z\, u \Big) \mathrm{d}r, \tag{82}$$

where $\mathscr{E}_u$ is the superoperator given by (26), and Ω is the Ito matrix from (9). The representation (81) in terms of (82) can also be established by using the general quantum stochastic exponential formulae [22] and relates (79) with the following noncommutative analogue of the Doleans-Dade exponential [5, 35]:

$$\mathrm{d}\mathscr{W}_u = \mathscr{W}_{u/2}(\mathscr{A}_u \mathrm{d}t + \mathscr{B}_u \mathrm{d}W)\mathscr{W}_{u/2}, \qquad \mathscr{B}_u := iu^{\mathrm{T}} \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathscr{E}_{ru}(g)\mathrm{d}r. \tag{83}$$

In addition to its connection with the classical stochastic exponentials, the representation (81)–(82) allows the Weyl quantization form of f and g from Lemma 1 to be combined with the eigenrelation (30). Indeed, this relation implies that

$$\int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathscr{E}_{ru}(\mathscr{W}_v)\mathrm{d}r = \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathrm{e}^{-2iru^{\mathrm{T}}\Theta v}\mathrm{d}r\, \mathscr{W}_v = \mathrm{sinc}(u^{\mathrm{T}}\Theta v)\mathscr{W}_v$$

for any $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and hence, due to the linearity of the superoperator $\mathscr{E}_u$ and the representation of f in (22), the leftmost integral in (82) can be evaluated as

$$\int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathscr{E}_{ru}(f)\mathrm{d}r = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} F(v) \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathscr{E}_{ru}(\mathscr{W}_v)\mathrm{d}r\mathrm{d}v = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathrm{sinc}(u^{\mathrm{T}}\Theta v)F(v)\mathscr{W}_v \mathrm{d}v. \tag{84}$$

By a similar reasoning, substitution of the Weyl quantization form of g from (22) into (82) leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathscr{E}_{ru}(g)\Omega \int_r^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathscr{E}_{zu}(g)^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{d}z\mathrm{d}r &= \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G(v)\mathscr{E}_{ru}(\mathscr{W}_v)\mathrm{d}v\, \Omega \int_r^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G(w)^{\mathrm{T}} \mathscr{E}_{zu}(\mathscr{W}_w)\mathrm{d}w\mathrm{d}z\mathrm{d}r \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} M(u,v,w)\mathrm{e}^{-iv^{\mathrm{T}}\Theta w} G(v)\Omega G(w)^{\mathrm{T}} \mathscr{W}_{v+w} \mathrm{d}v\mathrm{d}w \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} M(u,v,s-v)\mathrm{e}^{is^{\mathrm{T}}\Theta v} G(v)\Omega G(s-v)^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{d}v \Big) \mathscr{W}_s \mathrm{d}s.
\end{aligned} \tag{85}$$

Here,

$$\int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathscr{E}_{ru}(\mathscr{W}_v) \int_r^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathscr{E}_{zu}(\mathscr{W}_w)\mathrm{d}z\mathrm{d}r = \int_{-\frac{1}{2} \leqslant r \leqslant z \leqslant \frac{1}{2}} \mathrm{e}^{-2iu^{\mathrm{T}}\Theta(rv+zw)} \mathrm{d}r\mathrm{d}z\, \mathscr{W}_v \mathscr{W}_w = M(u,v,w)\mathrm{e}^{-iv^{\mathrm{T}}\Theta w}\mathscr{W}_{v+w} \tag{86}$$

for all $u, v, w \in \mathbb{R}^n$, where the function M is given by (78) and results from computing the rightmost integral in (86) as

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{-\frac{1}{2} \leqslant r \leqslant z \leqslant \frac{1}{2}} \mathrm{e}^{2i(\beta r + \gamma z)} \mathrm{d}r\mathrm{d}z &= \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathrm{e}^{2i\beta r} \int_r^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathrm{e}^{2i\gamma z} \mathrm{d}z\, \mathrm{d}r \\
&= \frac{1}{2i\gamma} \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathrm{e}^{2i\beta r} \big(\mathrm{e}^{i\gamma} - \mathrm{e}^{2i\gamma r} \big) \mathrm{d}r = \frac{\mathrm{sinc}(\beta)\mathrm{e}^{i\gamma} - \mathrm{sinc}(\beta+\gamma)}{2i\gamma} \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{sinc}(\beta)\mathrm{sinc}(\gamma) + i\frac{(\beta-\gamma)(\mathrm{sinc}(\beta+\gamma) - \mathrm{sinc}(\beta-\gamma))}{4\beta\gamma},
\end{aligned} \tag{87}$$

with $\beta := -u^{\mathrm{T}}\Theta v$ and $\gamma := -u^{\mathrm{T}}\Theta w$. If $\beta = 0$ or $\gamma = 0$, the right-hand side of (87) is defined by continuity. In particular, it is equal to $\frac{1}{2}$ for $\beta = \gamma = 0$ in accordance with the leftmost integral in (87) over the triangle of area $\frac{1}{2}$. By assembling (84) and (85) into (82), the process $\mathscr{A}_u$ takes the form

$$\mathscr{A}_u = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Big(i\,\mathrm{sinc}(u^{\mathrm{T}}\Theta s)u^{\mathrm{T}}F(s) - u^{\mathrm{T}}\mathscr{S}\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} M(u,v,s-v)\mathrm{e}^{is^{\mathrm{T}}\Theta v} G(v)\Omega G(s-v)^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{d}v \Big) u \Big) \mathscr{W}_s \mathrm{d}s, \tag{88}$$

where we have used the property $u^{\mathrm{T}}\Gamma u = u^{\mathrm{T}}\mathscr{S}(\Gamma)u$ for any vector u and matrix Γ. In order to compute the symmetrizer in (88), we note that

$$\begin{aligned}\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} M(u,v,s-v)\mathrm{e}^{is^{\mathrm{T}}\Theta v}G(v)\Omega G(s-v)^{\mathrm{T}}\mathrm{d}v\Big)^{\mathrm{T}} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} M(u,v,s-v)\mathrm{e}^{is^{\mathrm{T}}\Theta v}G(s-v)\overline{\Omega}G(v)^{\mathrm{T}}\mathrm{d}v\\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} M(u,s-v,v)\mathrm{e}^{is^{\mathrm{T}}\Theta(s-v)}G(v)\overline{\Omega}G(s-v)^{\mathrm{T}}\mathrm{d}v\\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \overline{M(u,v,s-v)}\mathrm{e}^{-is^{\mathrm{T}}\Theta v}G(v)\overline{\Omega}G(s-v)^{\mathrm{T}}\mathrm{d}v\\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G(v)\,\overline{M(u,v,s-v)\mathrm{e}^{is^{\mathrm{T}}\Theta v}\Omega}\,G(s-v)^{\mathrm{T}}\mathrm{d}v,\end{aligned}$$

where use is made of the Hermitian property $\Omega^{\mathrm{T}} = \overline{\Omega}$ of the Ito matrix Ω, the identity $M(u,w,v) = \overline{M(u,v,w)}$ for the function M in (78), and the antisymmetry of the CCR matrix Θ. Therefore,

$$\mathscr{S}\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} M(u,v,s-v)\mathrm{e}^{is^{\mathrm{T}}\Theta v}G(v)\Omega G(s-v)^{\mathrm{T}}\mathrm{d}v\Big) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G(v)L(u,v,s)G(s-v)^{\mathrm{T}}\mathrm{d}v, \tag{89}$$

where the function L is defined in terms of M by (77). In view of (89), the integral representation (88) takes the form

$$\mathscr{A}_u = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} V(u,s)\mathscr{W}_s\mathrm{d}s. \tag{90}$$

Here, the kernel function V is given by (76), where the second equality is obtained by using (23) and (25) as

$$\begin{aligned}V(u,s) :=& i\,\mathrm{sinc}(u^{\mathrm{T}}\Theta s)u^{\mathrm{T}}F(s) - u^{\mathrm{T}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G(v)L(u,v,s)G(s-v)^{\mathrm{T}}\mathrm{d}v\,u\\ =& -2\mathrm{sinc}(u^{\mathrm{T}}\Theta s)u^{\mathrm{T}}\Theta\Big(H_0(s)s + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} vH(v)^{\mathrm{T}}K(s,v)H(s-v)\mathrm{d}v\Big)\\ &- 4u^{\mathrm{T}}\Theta\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} vH(v)^{\mathrm{T}}L(u,v,s)H(s-v)(s-v)^{\mathrm{T}}\mathrm{d}v\Theta u\\ =& -2\sin(u^{\mathrm{T}}\Theta s)H_0(s) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} H(v)^{\mathrm{T}}\big(4u^{\mathrm{T}}\Theta v u^{\mathrm{T}}\Theta(s-v)L(u,v,s) - 2\mathrm{sinc}(u^{\mathrm{T}}\Theta s)u^{\mathrm{T}}\Theta v K(s,v)\big)H(s-v)\mathrm{d}v\\ =& -2\sin(u^{\mathrm{T}}\Theta s)H_0(s) - 2\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\sin(u^{\mathrm{T}}\Theta v)H(v)^{\mathrm{T}}K(u+v,v-s)H(s-v)\mathrm{d}v,\end{aligned}$$

where we have also employed the identity

$$\mathrm{sinc}(u^{\mathrm{T}}\Theta s)u^{\mathrm{T}}\Theta v K(s,v) - 2u^{\mathrm{T}}\Theta v u^{\mathrm{T}}\Theta(s-v)L(u,v,s) = \sin(u^{\mathrm{T}}\Theta v)K(u+v,v-s)$$

which follows from (24), (77) and (78). By substituting (90) into (81) and using the relation $\mathscr{W}_{u/2}\mathscr{W}_s\mathscr{W}_{u/2} = \mathscr{W}_{u+s}$, which holds for all $u,s\in\mathbb{R}^n$ in view of the Weyl CCRs (1), it follows that

$$\mathscr{L}(\mathscr{W}_u) = \mathscr{W}_{u/2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} V(u,s)\mathscr{W}_s\mathrm{d}s\mathscr{W}_{u/2} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} V(u,s)\mathscr{W}_{u+s}\mathrm{d}s. \tag{91}$$

The IDE (75) can now be obtained by averaging (91) and using (80), which completes the proof of the theorem. ■

If the QSDE (5) were a classical SDE for an $\mathbb{R}^n$-valued Markov diffusion process X driven by a standard Wiener process W, the drift vector f and the dispersion matrix g would be usual functions on $\mathbb{R}^n$ with the Fourier transforms F and G. In the classical case, (83) would reduce to the stochastic exponential

$$\mathrm{d}\mathscr{W}_u = \Big(iu^{\mathrm{T}}\mathrm{d}X - \frac{1}{2}|g^{\mathrm{T}}u|^2\mathrm{d}t\Big)\mathscr{W}_u = u^{\mathrm{T}}\Big(\Big(if - \frac{1}{2}gg^{\mathrm{T}}u\Big)\mathrm{d}t + ig\mathrm{d}W\Big)\mathscr{W}_u,$$

which corresponds to the previously discussed classical limit (47), and the characteristic function Φ would satisfy the IDE

$$\partial_t\Phi(t,u) = u^{\mathrm{T}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\Big(iF(s) - \frac{1}{2}(G*G^{\mathrm{T}})(s)\,u\Big)\Phi(t,u+s)\mathrm{d}s.$$

This IDE can also be obtained through the Fourier transform of the Fokker-Planck-Kolmogorov equation (FPKE) [32, 54] which governs the PDF of the random process X in $\mathbb{R}^n$, with the convolution $G*G^{\mathrm{T}}$ being the Fourier transform of the diffusion matrix gg^{T} for the classical SDE (cf. (48)). In the quantum case, the term $-2\sin(u^{\mathrm{T}}\Theta s)H_0(s)$ in (76) comes from the internal dynamics of the system and corresponds to the kernel of the Moyal equation [43, Eq. (7.4)] for the evolution of the QCF for isolated quantum systems. The integral term on the right-hand side of (76) describes the contribution from the system-field interaction, thus making the IDE (75) an extension of the Moyal equation to open quantum stochastic systems.

8. QCF AND QPDF DYNAMICS IN THE CASE OF LINEAR SYSTEM-FIELD COUPLING

Consider a particular class [52] of the above discussed quantum systems whose coupling operators are linear functions of the system variables described by (17) and (19). However, unlike (16) and (18), the Hamiltonian h_0 is not assumed to be a quadratic function and is split into a quadratic part and a nonquadratic term $\widetilde{h}_0$. The nonquadratic part $\widetilde{h}_0$ depends on d system variables comprising a vector ZX and is represented in the Weyl quantization form with a Hermitian Fourier transform $\widetilde{H}_0 : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{C}$:

$$h_0 := b^{\mathrm{T}} X + \frac{1}{2} X^{\mathrm{T}} R X + \widetilde{h}_0, \qquad \widetilde{h}_0 := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \widetilde{H}_0(v) \mathscr{W}_{Z^{\mathrm{T}} v} \mathrm{d}v, \tag{92}$$

where $Z \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times n}$ is a submatrix of a permutation matrix of order n (so that $d \leqslant n$ and $ZZ^{\mathrm{T}} = I_d$). For such a system, the drift vector f and the dispersion matrix g of the QSDE (5) in (6) take the form

$$f = AX + 2\Theta b + i[\widetilde{h}_0, X] = AX + 2\Theta b + 2i\Theta Z^{\mathrm{T}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \widetilde{H}_0(v) v \mathscr{W}_{Z^{\mathrm{T}} v} \mathrm{d}v, \qquad g = B, \tag{93}$$

where A and B are the matrices from (21) and Lemma 1 is used. The nonquadratic part $\widetilde{h}_0$ of the Hamiltonian h_0 in (92) is the only source of the nonlinear dependence of f on the system variables in (93).

Theorem 2. *Suppose the system-field coupling operators $h_1, \ldots, h_m$ are linear functions of the system variables described by (17), (19), and the system Hamiltonian h_0 is decomposed according to (92). Then the IDE (75) for the QCF Φ in (55) takes the form*

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \Phi(t,u) =& u^{\mathrm{T}} A \partial_u \Phi(t,u) + \Big(2iu^{\mathrm{T}} \Theta b - \frac{1}{2} |B^{\mathrm{T}} u|^2 \Big) \Phi(t,u) \\ & - 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sin(u^{\mathrm{T}} \Theta Z^{\mathrm{T}} v) \widetilde{H}_0(v) \Phi(t, u + Z^{\mathrm{T}} v) \mathrm{d}v, \end{aligned} \tag{94}$$

where the matrices A and B are given by (21). The corresponding IDE for the QPDF $\mathfrak{V}$ in (60) is

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \mathfrak{V}(t,x) =& -\operatorname{div}(\mathfrak{V}(t,x)(Ax + 2\Theta b)) + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{div}^2(\mathfrak{V}(t,x) BB^{\mathrm{T}}) \\ & - 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Pi(x,v) \mathfrak{V}(t, x - \Theta Z^{\mathrm{T}} v) \mathrm{d}v, \end{aligned} \tag{95}$$

where the kernel function $\Pi : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ is expressed in terms of the Fourier transform $\widetilde{H}_0$ from (92) as

$$\Pi(x,v) := \operatorname{Im}\big(\widetilde{H}_0(v) \mathrm{e}^{i v^{\mathrm{T}} Z x} \big) = \operatorname{Re} \widetilde{H}_0(v) \sin(v^{\mathrm{T}} Z x) + \operatorname{Im} \widetilde{H}_0(v) \cos(v^{\mathrm{T}} Z x). \tag{96}$$

□

Proof. We will use Theorem 1 and an intermediate step of its proof. By substituting (93) into (82) and using the fact that the system Hamiltonian enters the process $\mathscr{A}_u$ in a linear fashion, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathscr{A}_u &= iu^{\mathrm{T}} \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \Big(\mathscr{E}_{ru}(AX + 2\Theta b + i[\widetilde{h}_0, X]) + i \mathscr{E}_{ru}(B) \Omega \int_r^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathscr{E}_{su}(B)^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{d}s\, u \Big) \mathrm{d}r \\ &= iu^{\mathrm{T}} \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \Big(A \mathscr{E}_{ru}(X) + 2\Theta b + i \mathscr{E}_{ru}([\widetilde{h}_0, X]) + i\Big(\frac{1}{2} - r\Big) B \Omega B^{\mathrm{T}} u \Big) \mathrm{d}r \\ &= iu^{\mathrm{T}} \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \Big(A(X + 2r\Theta u) + 2\Theta b + i \mathscr{E}_{ru}([\widetilde{h}_0, X]) \Big) \mathrm{d}r - \frac{1}{2} u^{\mathrm{T}} B \Omega B^{\mathrm{T}} u \\ &= iu^{\mathrm{T}}(AX + 2\Theta b) - \frac{1}{2} |B^{\mathrm{T}} u|^2 - 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sin(u^{\mathrm{T}} \Theta Z^{\mathrm{T}} v) \widetilde{H}_0(v) \mathscr{W}_{Z^{\mathrm{T}} v} \mathrm{d}v, \end{aligned} \tag{97}$$

where $u^{\mathrm{T}} B \Omega B^{\mathrm{T}} u = u^{\mathrm{T}} B \mathscr{S}(\Omega) B^{\mathrm{T}} u = |B^{\mathrm{T}} u|^2$ in view of (10). By substituting (97) into (81) and averaging, (80) leads to

$$\partial_t \Phi(t,u) = iu^{\mathrm{T}} A \mathbf{E}(\mathscr{W}_{u/2} X \mathscr{W}_{u/2}) + \Big(2iu^{\mathrm{T}} \Theta b - \frac{1}{2} |B^{\mathrm{T}} u|^2 \Big) \Phi(t,u) - 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sin(u^{\mathrm{T}} \Theta Z^{\mathrm{T}} v) \widetilde{H}_0(v) \Phi(t, u + Z^{\mathrm{T}} v) \mathrm{d}v. \tag{98}$$

Now, (26), (28) and (68) imply that $\mathscr{W}_{u/2} X \mathscr{W}_{u/2} = \mathscr{E}_{u/2}(X) \mathscr{W}_u = (X + \Theta u) \mathscr{W}_u = -i \partial_u \mathscr{W}_u$, which, in accordance with the first of the quasi-polynomial moment identities (69), allows the expectation in (98) to be represented as $\mathbf{E}(\mathscr{W}_{u/2} X \mathscr{W}_{u/2}) = -i \partial_u \Phi(t,u)$, thus establishing the IDE (94). The IDE (95) can be obtained from (94) via the Fourier transform which relates the QPDF $\mathfrak{V}$ to the QCF Φ. Therefore, the first line of (94) leads to that of (95) in

a standard fashion. In view of the inverse Fourier transform in (62), the integral in (94) can be represented in terms of $\mathfrak{V}$ as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sin(u^{\mathrm{T}}\Theta Z^{\mathrm{T}}v)\widetilde{H}_0(v)\Phi(t,u+Z^{\mathrm{T}}v)\mathrm{d}v \\
&\qquad = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{iu^{\mathrm{T}}\Theta Z^{\mathrm{T}}v} - \mathrm{e}^{-iu^{\mathrm{T}}\Theta Z^{\mathrm{T}}v}}{2i}\widetilde{H}_0(v)\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\mathfrak{V}(t,y)\mathrm{e}^{i(u+Z^{\mathrm{T}}v)^{\mathrm{T}}y}\mathrm{d}y\mathrm{d}v \\
&\qquad = \frac{1}{2i}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^d}\big(\mathrm{e}^{iu^{\mathrm{T}}(y+\Theta Z^{\mathrm{T}}v)} - \mathrm{e}^{iu^{\mathrm{T}}(y-\Theta Z^{\mathrm{T}}v)}\big)\widetilde{H}_0(v)\mathfrak{V}(t,y)\mathrm{e}^{iv^{\mathrm{T}}Zy}\mathrm{d}y\mathrm{d}v \\
&\qquad = \frac{1}{2i}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^d}\mathrm{e}^{iu^{\mathrm{T}}x}\Big(\widetilde{H}_0(v)\mathrm{e}^{iv^{\mathrm{T}}Z(x-\Theta Z^{\mathrm{T}}v)} - \widetilde{H}_0(-v)\mathrm{e}^{-iv^{\mathrm{T}}Z(x-\Theta Z^{\mathrm{T}}v)}\Big)\mathfrak{V}(t,x-\Theta Z^{\mathrm{T}}v)\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}v \\
&\qquad = \frac{1}{2i}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^d}\mathrm{e}^{iu^{\mathrm{T}}x}\Big(\widetilde{H}_0(v)\mathrm{e}^{iv^{\mathrm{T}}Zx} - \overline{\widetilde{H}_0(v)\mathrm{e}^{iv^{\mathrm{T}}Zx}}\Big)\mathfrak{V}(t,x-\Theta Z^{\mathrm{T}}v)\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}v \\
&\qquad = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\mathrm{e}^{iu^{\mathrm{T}}x}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\Pi(x,v)\mathfrak{V}(t,x-\Theta Z^{\mathrm{T}}v)\mathrm{d}v\mathrm{d}x, \qquad (99)
\end{aligned}$$

where $v^{\mathrm{T}}Z\Theta Z^{\mathrm{T}}v = 0$ since Θ is antisymmetric. Here, linear transformations of the integration variables $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$ are used together with the Hermitian property of the function $\widetilde{H}_0$ leading to the kernel function Π in (96) which satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pi(y+\Theta Z^{\mathrm{T}}v, v) &= \mathrm{Im}\big(\widetilde{H}_0(v)\mathrm{e}^{iv^{\mathrm{T}}Z(y+\Theta Z^{\mathrm{T}}v)}\big) = \mathrm{Im}\big(\widetilde{H}_0(v)\mathrm{e}^{iv^{\mathrm{T}}Zy}\big) \\
&= \Pi(y,v) = -\Pi(y,-v) = -\Pi(y-\Theta Z^{\mathrm{T}}v, -v). \qquad (100)
\end{aligned}$$

The Fourier transform of the right-hand side of (99) yields the integral operator term in (95), thus completing the proof of the theorem. ■

The first line of the IDE (94) is recognizable as a PDE which describes the QCF evolution for the system in the case $\widetilde{h}_0 = 0$:

$$\partial_t\Phi(t,u) = u^{\mathrm{T}}A\partial_u\Phi(t,u) + \Big(2iu^{\mathrm{T}}\Theta b - \frac{1}{2}|B^{\mathrm{T}}u|^2\Big)\Phi(t,u) =: \mathfrak{A}(\Phi(t,\cdot))(u), \qquad (101)$$

where $\mathfrak{A}$ is a linear first-order differential operator acting on the QCF $\Phi(t,\cdot)$. This corresponds to an open quantum harmonic oscillator of Section 4 governed by the linear QSDE (20), and the IDE (95) reduces to its first line

$$\partial_t\mathfrak{V}(t,x) = -\mathrm{div}(\mathfrak{V}(t,x)(Ax+2\Theta b)) + \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{div}^2(\mathfrak{V}(t,x)BB^{\mathrm{T}}) =: \mathfrak{F}(\mathfrak{V}(t,\cdot))(x) \qquad (102)$$

which coincides with the FPKE for a classical Markov diffusion process with the infinitesimal generator $\mathfrak{F}^\dagger$. The generator acts on a smooth function $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ (with the gradient φ' and the Hessian matrix φ'') as $\mathfrak{F}^\dagger(\varphi)(x) := (Ax+2\Theta b)^{\mathrm{T}}\varphi'(x) + \frac{1}{2}\langle BB^{\mathrm{T}}, \varphi''(x)\rangle_{\mathrm{F}}$. If such an oscillator is initialized at a Gaussian quantum state [47], the linear dynamics preserve the Gaussian nature of the state in time [28]. In the quantum optics literature, this property is obtained for a one-mode oscillator from the corresponding master equation (see for example, [11]). In the mutidimensional case, the invariance of the class of Gaussian quantum states with respect to the linear dynamics follows from the PDEs (101) and (102) by the same reasoning as for classical linear stochastic systems. Indeed, the PDE (101) can be represented in terms of the logarithm of the QCF Φ as a nonhomogeneous linear PDE

$$\partial_t\ln\Phi(t,u) = u^{\mathrm{T}}A\partial_u\ln\Phi(t,u) + 2iu^{\mathrm{T}}\Theta b - \frac{1}{2}|B^{\mathrm{T}}u|^2, \qquad (103)$$

which preserves the quadratic dependence of $\ln\Phi(t,u)$ on $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$ over the course of time $t > 0$, provided $\ln\Phi(0,\cdot)$ is a quadratic function. In this case, the open quantum harmonic oscillator remains in the class of Gaussian quantum states with the QCFs

$$\Phi_{\mu,\Sigma}(u) = \mathrm{e}^{i\mu^{\mathrm{T}}u - \frac{1}{2}\|u\|_\Sigma^2}, \qquad (104)$$

where μ is the mean vector of the system variables in (71), and Σ is the real part of their quantum covariance matrix in (72). The QPDF $\mathfrak{V}$ in (60), which corresponds to a Gaussian state with the QCF $\Phi_{\mu,\Sigma}$ in (104), is given by

$$\mathfrak{V}_{\mu,\Sigma}(x) := \frac{(2\pi)^{-n/2}}{\sqrt{\det\Sigma}}\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2}\|x-\mu\|_{\Sigma^{-1}}^2}, \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \qquad (105)$$

provided $\Sigma \succ 0$. From (103), it follows that the parameters μ and Σ of the Gaussian quantum state satisfy the ODEs

$$\dot{\mu} = A\mu + 2\Theta b, \qquad \dot{\Sigma} = A\Sigma + \Sigma A^{\mathrm{T}} + BB^{\mathrm{T}}. \qquad (106)$$

Here, the second equation is a Lyapunov ODE whose solution satisfies $\Sigma(t) \succ 0$ at all times $t > 0$ for any physically meaningful initial data[1] if the Krylov subspaces, generated by the matrix A from the columns of B, cover the space $\mathbb{R}^n$. The latter condition is equivalent to the Kalman controllability matrix $\begin{bmatrix} B & AB & \ldots & A^{n-1}B \end{bmatrix}$ being of full row rank, which is closely related to the Hörmander condition [25, 54] and guarantees that the PDE (102) has a smooth fundamental solution. Irrespective of whether the matrices A and B satisfy the controllability condition, the solutions

$$\mu(t) = 2\int_0^t \mathrm{e}^{sA}\mathrm{d}s\,\Theta b, \qquad \Sigma(t) = \int_0^t \mathrm{e}^{sA}BB^{\mathrm{T}}\mathrm{e}^{sA^{\mathrm{T}}}\mathrm{d}s \tag{107}$$

of the ODEs (106) (this time with zero initial conditions $\mu(0) = 0$ and $\Sigma(0) = 0$) parameterize the solutions of the PDE (101) for general (not necessarily Gaussian) initial QCFs $\Phi(0,\cdot)$. More precisely, application of the method of characteristics [9, 56] allows Φ to be expressed in terms of (104) as

$$\Phi(t,u) = \Phi\big(0, \mathrm{e}^{tA^{\mathrm{T}}}u\big)\Phi_{\mu(t),\Sigma(t)}(u) = \mathrm{e}^{t\mathfrak{A}}(\Phi(0,\cdot))(u), \tag{108}$$

where the linear operator $\mathrm{e}^{t\mathfrak{A}}$ describes the flow associated with the PDE (101). Since any QCF takes values in the closed unit disc of the complex plane, and the Gaussian QCF satisfies $|\Phi_{\mu,\Sigma}(u)|^2 = \mathrm{e}^{-\|u\|^2_\Sigma}$, the representation (108) implies the finiteness of the following weighted L_2-norm

$$|||\Phi(t,\cdot)|||_P := \sqrt{\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathrm{e}^{u^{\mathrm{T}}Pu}|\Phi(t,u)|^2\mathrm{d}u} \tag{109}$$

for any matrix $P \in \mathbb{S}_n$ satisfying $P \prec \Sigma(t)$. The corresponding inner product $\langle\!\langle \varphi, \psi\rangle\!\rangle_P := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathrm{e}^{u^{\mathrm{T}}Pu}\overline{\varphi(u)}\psi(u)\mathrm{d}u$ is real-valued for Hermitian functions φ and ψ. Since the finiteness of the integral in (109) for any $P \prec 0$ is trivially ensured by the inequality $|\Phi| \leqslant 1$ (see also [4, Proposition 6] on square integrability of QCFs), we will assume for what follows that $P \succcurlyeq 0$. For example, by letting $P := \Sigma(t)$ in (109) and combining (104) with (108), it follows that

$$|||\Phi(t,\cdot)|||^2_{\Sigma(t)} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \big|\Phi(0,\mathrm{e}^{tA^{\mathrm{T}}}u)\big|^2\mathrm{d}u = \|\Phi(0,\cdot)\|_2^2\,\mathrm{e}^{-t\mathrm{Tr}A}.$$

If the pair (A,B) is controllable, then for any $t > 0$, the controllability Gramian $\Sigma(t)$ in (107) is positive definite and hence, there exist $P \in \mathbb{S}_n$ satisfying $0 \prec P \prec \Sigma(t)$. From the finiteness of the norm $|||\Phi(t,\cdot)|||_P$ for such matrices P in (109) and the Plancherel identity, it follows that the QPDF $\mho(t,\cdot)$ is infinitely differentiable, and its partial derivatives are all square integrable over $\mathbb{R}^n$, with

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}^n_+}\frac{\varepsilon^{|\alpha|}}{\alpha!}\|\partial_x^\alpha\mho(t,\cdot)\|_2^2 &= (2\pi)^{-n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|\Phi(t,u)|^2\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}^n_+}\frac{\varepsilon^{|\alpha|}}{\alpha!}u^{2\alpha}\mathrm{d}u\\ &= (2\pi)^{-n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\mathrm{e}^{\varepsilon|u|^2}|\Phi(t,u)|^2\mathrm{d}u \leqslant (2\pi)^{-n}|||\Phi(t,\cdot)|||_P^2 < +\infty\end{aligned} \tag{110}$$

for any real ε not exceeding the smallest eigenvalue of P. The quantity on the left-hand side of (110) coincides with the square of a generalized Sobolev norm $\|\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\Delta}\mho(t,\cdot)\|_2$ of the QPDF, which is organised similarly to the norm in the Bessel potential space [54, pp. 170–171]. Here, Δ is the Laplacian, and the operator $\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\Delta}$ (with $\varepsilon > 0$) describes the time-reversed flow associated with the heat equation $\partial_t\psi = \frac{1}{2}\Delta\psi$. The property (110) of the solutions of the PDEs (101) and (102) for the open quantum harmonic oscillator are qualitatively related to the smoothing effect of the heat semigroup [9].[2] However, in contrast to the linear case, the presence of a nonquadratic term in the system Hamiltonian (92) makes the quantum state dynamics non-Gaussian, and the smoothness of fundamental solutions of the IDE (95) requires a separate investigation. Although this is beyond the scope of the present paper, we note that such analysis can employ a Duhamel type formula [9, 54]

$$\Phi(t,\cdot) = \mathrm{e}^{t(\mathfrak{A}+\mathfrak{B})}(\Phi(0,\cdot)) = \mathrm{e}^{t\mathfrak{A}}(\Phi(0,\cdot)) + \int_0^t \mathrm{e}^{(t-s)\mathfrak{A}}(\mathfrak{B}(\Phi(s,\cdot)))\mathrm{d}s, \tag{111}$$

where $\mathfrak{B}$ denotes the integral operator on the right-hand side of (94) which acts over the spatial variables of the QCF as

$$\mathfrak{B}(\varphi)(u) := -2\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\sin(u^{\mathrm{T}}\Theta Z^{\mathrm{T}}v)\widetilde{H}_0(v)\varphi(u+Z^{\mathrm{T}}v)\mathrm{d}v, \tag{112}$$

[1] with $\Sigma(0) \succcurlyeq -i\Theta$ due to the positive semi-definiteness of the quantum covariance matrix in (70) as a generalized form of the Heisenberg uncertainty principle [23]

[2] a function $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{C}$ satisfies $|||\varphi|||^2_{\varepsilon I_n} < +\infty$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$ if and only if its Fourier transform is a solution of the heat equation at time ε with a square integrable initial condition

with the image $\mathfrak{B}(\Phi(t,\cdot))(u)$ also being a Hermitian function of $u\in\mathbb{R}^n$ for any given time $t\geqslant 0$. We will only outline a possible avenue for obtaining upper bounds for the norms (109) in the non-Gaussian case, based on the dissipation relation

$$\partial_t(|||\Phi|||_P^2)+\big\langle AP+PA^{\mathrm{T}}+BB^{\mathrm{T}},\partial_P(|||\Phi|||_P^2)\big\rangle_{\mathrm{F}}=-|||\Phi|||_P^2\mathrm{Tr}A+2\langle\!\langle\Phi,\mathfrak{B}(\Phi)\rangle\!\rangle_P, \tag{113}$$

where $\partial_P(\cdot)$ is the Frechet derivative on the Hilbert space $\mathbb{S}_n$ endowed with the Frobenius inner product of matrices $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_{\mathrm{F}}$. The relation (113) is established by computing the partial time derivative of the squared norm from (109) as

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t(|||\Phi|||_P^2)=&2\langle\!\langle\Phi,\partial_t\Phi\rangle\!\rangle_P\\
=&2\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\mathrm{e}^{\|u\|_P^2}\overline{\Phi(t,u)}\Big(u^{\mathrm{T}}A\partial_u\Phi(t,u)+\big(2iu^{\mathrm{T}}\Theta b-\frac{1}{2}|B^{\mathrm{T}}u|^2\big)\Phi(t,u)+\mathfrak{B}(\Phi(t,\cdot))(u)\Big)\mathrm{d}u\\
=&-\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\mathrm{e}^{\|u\|_P^2}\big(\mathrm{Tr}A+u^{\mathrm{T}}(AP+PA^{\mathrm{T}}+BB^{\mathrm{T}})u\big)|\Phi(t,u)|^2\mathrm{d}u+2\langle\!\langle\Phi,\mathfrak{B}(\Phi)\rangle\!\rangle_P\\
=&-|||\Phi|||_P^2\mathrm{Tr}A-\big\langle AP+PA^{\mathrm{T}}+BB^{\mathrm{T}},\partial_P(|||\Phi|||_P^2)\big\rangle_{\mathrm{F}}+2\langle\!\langle\Phi,\mathfrak{B}(\Phi)\rangle\!\rangle_P,\end{aligned} \tag{114}$$

provided the QCF $\Phi(t,\cdot)$ decays fast enough at infinity in $\mathbb{R}^n$ in the sense that $|\Phi(t,u)|^2=o(\mathrm{e}^{-\|u\|_P^2}|u|^{-n})$ as $u\to\infty$. This decay rate condition is combined in (114) with the divergence theorem and the identities

$$\begin{aligned}2\mathrm{e}^{\|u\|_P^2}u^{\mathrm{T}}A\mathrm{Re}\,(\overline{\varphi}\partial_u\varphi)&=\mathrm{div}\big(\mathrm{e}^{\|u\|_P^2}A^{\mathrm{T}}u|\varphi|^2\big)-|\varphi|^2\mathrm{div}\big(\mathrm{e}^{\|u\|_P^2}A^{\mathrm{T}}u\big),\\
\mathrm{div}\big(\mathrm{e}^{\|u\|_P^2}A^{\mathrm{T}}u\big)&=\mathrm{e}^{\|u\|_P^2}(\mathrm{Tr}A+u^{\mathrm{T}}(AP+PA^{\mathrm{T}})u),\\
\partial_P\,\mathrm{e}^{\|u\|_P^2}&=\mathrm{e}^{\|u\|_P^2}uu^{\mathrm{T}}.\end{aligned}$$

The first-order differential operator on the left-hand side of (113) is the full time derivative of $|||\Phi(t,\cdot)|||_P^2$ (as a function of $(t,P)\in\mathbb{R}_+\times\mathbb{S}_n$) along the characteristics $\dot P=AP+PA^{\mathrm{T}}+BB^{\mathrm{T}}$. This allows a multivariate partial differential version of Gronwall's lemma to be applied to $|||\Phi|||_P^2$, provided the matrix P is not too "large" in comparison with the controllability Gramian Σ in (107). To this end, in view of (112), the rightmost term in (113) admits the following upper bound

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\!\langle\varphi,\mathfrak{B}(\varphi)\rangle\!\rangle_P&=-2\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\mathrm{e}^{\|u\|_P^2}\overline{\varphi(u)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\sin(u^{\mathrm{T}}\Theta Z^{\mathrm{T}}v)\widetilde{H}_0(v)\varphi(u+Z^{\mathrm{T}}v)\mathrm{d}v\mathrm{d}u\\
&\leqslant 2\int_{\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^d}\mathrm{e}^{-\|u\|_{S-P}^2-u^{\mathrm{T}}SZ^{\mathrm{T}}v-\frac{1}{2}\|v\|_{ZSZ^{\mathrm{T}}}^2}|\widetilde{H}_0(v)|\varphi_S(u)\varphi_S(u+Z^{\mathrm{T}}v)\mathrm{d}u\mathrm{d}v\\
&\leqslant 2|||\varphi|||_S^2\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\mathrm{e}^{\frac{1}{4}v^{\mathrm{T}}Z(P+P(S-P)^{-1}P-S)Z^{\mathrm{T}}v}|\widetilde{H}_0(v)|\mathrm{d}v,\end{aligned} \tag{115}$$

where $S\in\mathbb{S}_n$ is an arbitrary matrix satisfying $S\succ P$. Here, the Cauchy-Bunyakovsky-Schwarz inequality is applied to the function $\varphi_S(u):=\mathrm{e}^{\frac{1}{2}\|u\|_S^2}|\varphi(u)|$ and its translation $\varphi_S(u+Z^{\mathrm{T}}v)$, so that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\varphi_S(u)\varphi_S(u+Z^{\mathrm{T}}v)\mathrm{d}u\leqslant\|\varphi_S\|_2^2=|||\varphi|||_S^2$ for all $v\in\mathbb{R}^d$. Also, use is made of the relations

$$\|u\|_{S-P}^2+u^{\mathrm{T}}SZ^{\mathrm{T}}v=\|u+\frac{1}{2}(S-P)^{-1}SZ^{\mathrm{T}}v\|_{S-P}^2-\frac{1}{4}\|SZ^{\mathrm{T}}v\|_{(S-P)^{-1}}^2\geqslant-\frac{1}{4}\|SZ^{\mathrm{T}}v\|_{(S-P)^{-1}}^2$$

(which are obtained by completing the square) and the matrix identity $S(S-P)^{-1}S-2S=P+P(S-P)^{-1}P-S$. While $|||\varphi|||_S$ is an increasing function of the auxiliary matrix S (in the sense of the matrix ordering), the last integral in (115) decreases with respect to S. This integral is amenable to an explicit calculation when $|\widetilde{H}_0(v)|$ is a quadratic-exponential function of v, which is the case, for example, if the non-quadratic part $\widetilde{h}_0$ of the Hamiltonian in (92) is the Weyl quantization of a Gaussian-shaped function on $\mathbb{R}^d$. Since the matrix $S\succ P$ is arbitrary, (115) leads to

$$\langle\!\langle\varphi,\mathfrak{B}(\varphi)\rangle\!\rangle_P\leqslant 2\inf_{S\in\mathbb{S}_n:\ S\succ P}\Big(|||\varphi|||_S^2\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\mathrm{e}^{\frac{1}{4}v^{\mathrm{T}}Z(P+P(S-P)^{-1}P-S)Z^{\mathrm{T}}v}|\widetilde{H}_0(v)|\mathrm{d}v\Big)\leqslant 2|||\varphi|||_{2P}^2\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|\widetilde{H}_0(v)|\mathrm{d}v. \tag{116}$$

However, the difficulty of using the upper bounds (115) and (116) lies in the fact that they involve the norms $|||\cdot|||_S$ with different matrices $S\succ P$, which couples the dissipation inequalities for $|||\Phi|||_P$ resulting from a combination of the bounds with (113).

In conclusion of this section, we note that the IDE (95) suggests an analogy with the PDF dynamics of classical jump-diffusion processes, especially considering the fact that, in view of the antisymmetry (100), the integral operator term in (95) satisfies the identity

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\Pi(x,v)\mathfrak{V}(t,x-\Theta Z^{\mathrm{T}}v)\mathrm{d}v\mathrm{d}x=\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\mathfrak{V}(t,y)\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\Pi(y+\Theta Z^{\mathrm{T}}v,v)\mathrm{d}v\mathrm{d}y=0,$$

which is closely related to the preservation of the normalization (61), similar to the property of classical PDFs. However, even in the nondegenerate case when $d = n$ and $\det\Theta \neq 0$, the integral operator $-2\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\Pi(x,v)\mho(t,x-\Theta Z^{\mathrm{T}}v)\mathrm{d}v$ in (95) is not necessarily representable in the form $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} p(x\,|\,y)\lambda(y)\mho(t,y)\mathrm{d}y - \lambda(x)\mho(t,x)$ which corresponds to a jump-diffusion process whose "jump" part is specified by a state-dependent rate λ and an absolutely continuous Markov transition kernel with a conditional PDF p. This discrepancy and its interplay with the FPKE part of the IDE (95) can lead to negative values of the QPDF $\mho$.

9. Approximate computation of invariant states via operator splitting

For the class of quantum systems with linear system-field coupling, described in the previous section, we will now consider the problem of finding invariant states in the form of steady-state solutions of the IDEs (94) and (95) which can be written as

$$\partial_t\Phi = (\mathfrak{A}+\mathfrak{B})(\Phi), \qquad \partial_t\mho = (\mathfrak{F}+\mathfrak{G})(\mho),$$

where the first of the equations has already been used in (111). The integral operators $\mathfrak{B}$ and $\mathfrak{G}$ on the right-hand sides of these IDEs act over the spatial variables of the QCF and QPDF according to (112) and

$$\mathfrak{G}(\mho(t,\cdot))(x) := -2\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\Pi(x,v)\mho(t,x-\Theta Z^{\mathrm{T}}v)\mathrm{d}v. \tag{117}$$

By using the general idea of the operator-splitting methods [38, 53], the operators $\mathfrak{B}$ and $\mathfrak{G}$ can be regarded as perturbations to the differential operators $\mathfrak{A}$ and $\mathfrak{F}$ of the exactly solvable PDEs (101) and (102) which have Gaussian steady-state solutions. More precisely, if the matrix A in (21) is Hurwitz, then the open quantum harmonic oscillator (20), which represents the linear part of the system, has a Gaussian invariant state whose QCF Φ_0 and QPDF $\mho_0$ are given by

$$\Phi_0 := \Phi_{\mu_0,\Sigma_0}, \qquad \mho_0 := \mho_{\mu_0,\Sigma_0}. \tag{118}$$

Here, in view of (104)–(107), the mean vector μ_0 and the real part Σ_0 of the quantum covariance matrix are computed as

$$\mu_0 := -2A^{-1}\Theta b, \qquad \Sigma_0 := \int_0^{+\infty}\mathrm{e}^{tA}BB^{\mathrm{T}}\mathrm{e}^{tA^{\mathrm{T}}}\mathrm{d}t, \tag{119}$$

with Σ_0 being the unique solution of the algebraic Lyapunov equation $A\Sigma_0+\Sigma_0A^{\mathrm{T}}+BB^{\mathrm{T}}=0$. The functions Φ_0 and $\mho_0$ in (118) provide initial approximations to the invariant state of the nonlinear quantum system. The invariant QCF Φ_* and the invariant QPDF $\mho_*$ of the system can then be sought as the formal series

$$\Phi_* = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty}\Phi_k, \qquad \mho_* = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty}\mho_k. \tag{120}$$

The terms $\Phi_k : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{C}$ and their Fourier transforms $\mho_k : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ are computed through the recurrence relations

$$\mathfrak{A}(\Phi_k)+\mathfrak{B}(\Phi_{k-1}) = 0, \qquad \mathfrak{F}(\mho_k)+\mathfrak{G}(\mho_{k-1}) = 0, \tag{121}$$

which are organized as nonhomogeneous linear PDEs with respect to Φ_k and $\mho_k$ subject to the normalization constraints

$$\Phi_k(0) = 0, \qquad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\mho_k(x)\mathrm{d}x = 0 \tag{122}$$

for all $k = 1,2,3,\ldots$, with the Gaussian initial conditions Φ_0 and $\mho_0$ given by (118) and (119). Although the differential operators $\mathfrak{A}$ and $\mathfrak{F}$ themselves are not invertible, the solutions of the equations (121) and (122) are formally representable as $\Phi_k = (-\mathfrak{A}^{-1}\mathfrak{B})^k(\Phi_0)$ and $\mho_k = (-\mathfrak{F}^{-1}\mathfrak{G})^k(\mho_0)$, and hence, the convergence of the series in (120) depends on the decay of iterates of the operators $\mathfrak{A}^{-1}\mathfrak{B}$ and $\mathfrak{F}^{-1}\mathfrak{G}$ on Φ_0 and $\mho_0$ in an appropriate sense.

As an illustrative example concerning the first perturbation terms Φ_1 and $\mho_1$, suppose (throughout the rest of this section) that the system variables consist of $d := \frac{n}{2}$ Cartesian position variables $q_1,\ldots,q_d$ and the conjugate momentum operators $p_1,\ldots,p_d$:

$$X := \begin{bmatrix} q \\ p \end{bmatrix}, \qquad q := \begin{bmatrix} q_1 \\ \vdots \\ q_d \end{bmatrix} = ZX, \qquad Z = \begin{bmatrix} I_d & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad p := \begin{bmatrix} p_1 \\ \vdots \\ p_d \end{bmatrix} = -i\partial_q, \tag{123}$$

with the CCR matrix Θ given by (4). Furthermore, let the system Hamiltonian h_0 be described by (92) with $b = 0$ as

$$h_0 := \frac{1}{2}(q^{\mathrm{T}}\Gamma q + p^{\mathrm{T}}p) + \phi(q) = \frac{1}{2}X^{\mathrm{T}}RX + \widetilde{h}_0, \qquad R := \begin{bmatrix} \Gamma & 0 \\ 0 & I_d \end{bmatrix}, \tag{124}$$

where the quadratic part of the total energy is specified by the stiffness matrix $\Gamma \in \mathbb{S}_n$ and the identity mass matrix I_d, while the nonquadratic part of the potential energy is described by a function $\phi : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ with the Fourier transform $\widetilde{H}_0$:

$$\widetilde{h}_0 := \phi(q) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \widetilde{H}_0(v) \mathrm{e}^{iv^{\mathrm{T}}q} \mathrm{d}v. \tag{125}$$

In accordance with (92), the matrix Z in (123) consists of the first d rows of I_n, and use is made of the mutual commutativity of the position variables in the vector q, whereby the corresponding Weyl operator $\mathscr{W}_{Z^{\mathrm{T}}v}$ reduces to the usual exponential function $\mathrm{e}^{iv^{\mathrm{T}}ZX} = \mathrm{e}^{iv^{\mathrm{T}}q}$ for any $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Now, consider a negative Gaussian-shaped potential (see, for example, [7] and references therein):

$$\phi(q) := -C\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2}\|q-\gamma\|^2_\Lambda}, \tag{126}$$

where $C > 0$, $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^d$, and $\Lambda \in \mathbb{S}_d$ is a positive definite matrix. The parameter γ of the potential ϕ specifies the location of an attracting centre in the position space $\mathbb{R}^d$ with the stiffness matrix $\phi''(\gamma) = C\Lambda$, with the exponentially fast decay of the attraction at infinity resembling the Morse potential [42] (see Fig. 1 for a two-dimensional example of the potential energy in (124) with $\Gamma = \begin{bmatrix} 0.2 & -0.1 \\ -0.1 & 0.4 \end{bmatrix}$, $C = 1.5$, $\Lambda = \begin{bmatrix} 6 & 1 \\ 1 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$ and $\gamma = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$). The corresponding



FIGURE 1. A two-dimensional illustration of the quadratic and negative Gaussian potential energy $\frac{1}{2}\|q\|^2_\Gamma + \phi(q)$, with $\Gamma \succ 0$ and ϕ given by (126), as a function of the position vector $q \in \mathbb{R}^2$. The second local minimum is contributed by ϕ.

function $\widetilde{H}_0$ in (125) is the Fourier transform of (126):

$$\widetilde{H}_0(v) = (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi(q) \mathrm{e}^{-iv^{\mathrm{T}}q} \mathrm{d}q = -C\frac{(2\pi)^{-d/2}}{\sqrt{\det\Lambda}} \mathrm{e}^{-iv^{\mathrm{T}}\gamma - \frac{1}{2}\|v\|^2_{\Lambda^{-1}}},$$

whose substitution into (96) leads to the following kernel function of the integral operator $\mathfrak{G}$ in (117):

$$\Pi(x,v) = C\frac{(2\pi)^{-d/2}}{\sqrt{\det\Lambda}} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2}\|v\|^2_{\Lambda^{-1}}} \sin(v^{\mathrm{T}}(\gamma - q)). \tag{127}$$

Assuming that the pair (A,B) is controllable in addition to the matrix A being Hurwitz, the parameters (119) of the invariant Gaussian state of the linear part of the system satisfy $\mu_0 = 0$ and $\Sigma_0 \succ 0$. The image of the Gaussian

QPDF $\mathcal{V}_0 = \mathcal{V}_{0,\Sigma_0}$ from (118) under the integral operator $\mathfrak{G}$ in (117), associated with (127), can be computed as

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{G}(\mathcal{V}_0)(x) &= 2C\frac{(2\pi)^{-(n+d)/2}}{\sqrt{\det\Lambda\det\Sigma_0}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2}(\|v\|^2_{\Lambda^{-1}}+\|x-\Theta Z^{\mathrm{T}}v\|^2_{\Sigma_0^{-1}})}\sin(v^{\mathrm{T}}(q-\gamma))\mathrm{d}v\\
&= 2C\frac{(2\pi)^{-(n+d)/2}}{\sqrt{\det\Lambda\det\Sigma_0}}\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2}\|x\|^2_{(\Sigma_0-\Theta Z^{\mathrm{T}}\Lambda Z\Theta)^{-1}}}\operatorname{Im}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\mathrm{e}^{iv^{\mathrm{T}}(q-\gamma)-\frac{1}{2}\|v+SZ\Theta\Sigma_0^{-1}x\|^2_{S^{-1}}}\mathrm{d}v\\
&= \frac{2C(2\pi)^{-d}}{\sqrt{\det\Sigma_0\det(I_d-\Lambda Z\Theta\Sigma_0^{-1}\Theta Z^{\mathrm{T}})}}\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2}\|x\|^2_{(\Sigma_0-\Theta Z^{\mathrm{T}}\Lambda Z\Theta)^{-1}}}\operatorname{Im}\mathrm{e}^{i(\gamma-q)^{\mathrm{T}}SZ\Theta\Sigma_0^{-1}x-\frac{1}{2}\|\gamma-q\|^2_S}\\
&= E\mathrm{e}^{\sigma^{\mathrm{T}}x-\frac{1}{2}\|x\|^2_\alpha}\sin\Big(\tau^{\mathrm{T}}x-\frac{1}{2}x^{\mathrm{T}}\beta x\Big) \qquad (128)
\end{aligned}$$

for any $x\in\mathbb{R}^n$, with $q = Zx$ in view of (123). Here, $E>0$ is a constant factor and $S\in\mathbb{S}_n$ is a positive definite matrix given by

$$E := \frac{2C(2\pi)^{-d}\,\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2}\|\gamma\|^2_S}}{\sqrt{\det\Sigma_0\det(I_d-\Lambda Z\Theta\Sigma_0^{-1}\Theta Z^{\mathrm{T}})}}, \qquad S := (\Lambda^{-1}-Z\Theta\Sigma_0^{-1}\Theta Z^{\mathrm{T}})^{-1},$$

and use is made of the relation $\Sigma_0^{-1}+\Sigma_0^{-1}\Theta Z^{\mathrm{T}}SZ\Theta\Sigma_0^{-1} = (\Sigma_0-\Theta Z^{\mathrm{T}}\Lambda Z\Theta)^{-1}$ which follows from the Sherman-Morrison-Woodbury matrix identity [24]. Also, $\alpha,\beta\in\mathbb{S}_n$, $\sigma,\tau\in\mathbb{R}^n$ are auxiliary matrices and vectors given by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\alpha := (\Sigma_0-\Theta Z^{\mathrm{T}}\Lambda Z\Theta)^{-1}+Z^{\mathrm{T}}SZ, \qquad &&\beta := Z^{\mathrm{T}}SZ\Theta\Sigma_0^{-1}-\Sigma_0^{-1}\Theta Z^{\mathrm{T}}SZ,\\
&\sigma := Z^{\mathrm{T}}S\gamma, &&\tau := -\Sigma_0^{-1}\Theta Z^{\mathrm{T}}S\gamma.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\alpha\succ 0$, the oscillatory quadratic-exponential function $\mathfrak{G}(\mathcal{V}_0)(x)$ in (128) has a Gaussian decay rate as $x\to\infty$. In view of (102), (121) and (122), the first correction $\mathcal{V}_1$ of $\mathcal{V}_0$ towards the invariant QPDF $\mathcal{V}_*$ in (120) is found by solving the problem

$$\mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{V}_1)(x) := \operatorname{div}\Big(\frac{1}{2}BB^{\mathrm{T}}\mathcal{V}_1'(x)-\mathcal{V}_1(x)Ax\Big) = -\mathfrak{G}(\mathcal{V}_0)(x), \qquad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\mathcal{V}_1(x)\mathrm{d}x = 0. \qquad (129)$$

The Green's function $\kappa:\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{R}$ for this problem is expressed in terms of the transitional PDF of the classical Markov diffusion process with the generator $\mathfrak{F}^\dagger$ as

$$\kappa(x,y) := \int_0^{+\infty}\big(\mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{e}^{tA},\Sigma(t)}(x)-\mathcal{V}_0(x)\big)\mathrm{d}t, \qquad (130)$$

where use is made of the Gaussian PDF (105) and the finite-horizon controllability Gramian $\Sigma(t)$ from (107). The convergence of the improper integral in (130) at $+\infty$ is secured by the exponentially fast convergence of e^{tA} to 0 and $\Sigma(t)$ to Σ_0 as $t\to+\infty$ due to the matrix A being Hurwitz. The convergence of this integral at 0 can be ensured by an additional assumption of ellipticity $BB^{\mathrm{T}}\succ 0$, which is equivalent to the matrix B being of full row rank and is stronger than the controllability of (A,B). Note that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\kappa(x,y)\mathrm{d}x = 0$ for all $y\in\mathbb{R}^n$. The solution of the problem (129) takes the form

$$\mathcal{V}_1(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\kappa(x,y)\mathfrak{G}(\mathcal{V}_0)(y)\mathrm{d}y. \qquad (131)$$

Although, in view of (128), the right-hand side of (131) resembles the structure of Fresnel integrals [57] with a Gaussian damping, its calculation in closed form is complicated by the presence of the integration over time in (130).

The above phase-space approach to the approximation of invariant quantum states as steady-state solutions of the IDEs for the QCF and QPDF dynamics can be extended to the fundamental solutions of the IDEs (which are quantum counterparts of the classical Markov transition kernels and specify the relaxation dynamics of the system towards the equilibrium).

10. χ^2-DIVERGENCE FROM GAUSSIAN STATES

For the quantum systems with linear system-field coupling from Section 8, we will now consider the deviation of the actual quantum state from Gaussian states which the system would have if its dynamics (5) were linear and the initial state were Gaussian. This deviation can be quantified by the χ^2-divergence

$$D_{\mu,\Sigma}(\mathcal{V}) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\frac{(\mathcal{V}-\mathcal{V}_{\mu,\Sigma})^2}{\mathcal{V}_{\mu,\Sigma}}\mathrm{d}x = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\frac{\mathcal{V}^2}{\mathcal{V}_{\mu,\Sigma}}\mathrm{d}x-1 = \mathrm{e}^{\mathbf{R}(\mathcal{V}\|\mathcal{V}_{\mu,\Sigma})}-1 \qquad (132)$$

which, unlike the standard Kullback-Leibler relative entropy [3, 19], is well-defined despite possible negative values of the QPDF $\mho$. We have omitted the arguments of the functions for brevity and used the normalization (61) which holds for an arbitrary QPDF $\mho$, including its Gaussian case $\mho_{\mu,\Sigma}$ in (105). The χ^2-divergence in (132) is expressed in terms of the second-order Renyi relative entropy [50] of a PDF $p:\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{R}_+$ with respect to a reference PDF $r:\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{R}_+$ defined by

$$\mathbf{R}(p\|r) := \ln\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\frac{p^2}{r}\mathrm{d}x = \ln\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\Big(\frac{p}{r}\Big)^2 r\mathrm{d}x,$$

provided p is absolutely continuous with respect to r (in the sense that $p=0$ whenever $r=0$). Although the QPDF $\mho$ can take negative values, the Renyi relative entropy retains its usefulness as a measure of deviation in (132) due to (61) and the fact that $\mho_{\mu,\Sigma}$ is a legitimate PDF. This follows from the Cauchy-Bunyakovsky-Schwarz inequality

$$1=\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\frac{\mho}{\sqrt{\mho_{\mu,\Sigma}}}\sqrt{\mho_{\mu,\Sigma}}\,\mathrm{d}x\Big)^2\leqslant\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\frac{\mho^2}{\mho_{\mu,\Sigma}}\mathrm{d}x\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\mho_{\mu,\Sigma}\mathrm{d}x = D_{\mu,\Sigma}(\mho)+1$$

which becomes an equality (that is, $D_{\mu,\Sigma}(\mho)=\mathbf{R}(\mho\|\mho_{\mu,\Sigma})=0$) if and only if $\mho=\mho_{\mu,\Sigma}$. The χ^2-divergence allows the deviation of the actual quantum state of the system from the class of Gaussian states to be described by

$$D(\mho) := \inf_{\mu\in\mathbb{R}^n,\ \Sigma\in\mathbb{S}_n:\ \Sigma\succ 0 \text{ and } \Sigma+i\Theta\succcurlyeq 0} D_{\mu,\Sigma}(\mho). \tag{133}$$

If the infimum in (133) is achieved, then the appropriate values of μ and Σ specify an "optimal" approximation (among Gaussian states) for the actual state of the system. The evolution of this optimal Gaussian state corresponds to an "effective" open quantum harmonic oscillator. The optimal values μ_* and Σ_* can be found as a unique critical point of the χ^2-divergence in (132) by equating to zero the derivatives

$$\partial_\mu D_{\mu,\Sigma}(\mho)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\mho^2\partial_\mu\big(\mho_{\mu,\Sigma}^{-1}\big)\mathrm{d}x=\Sigma^{-1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\frac{\mho^2}{\mho_{\mu,\Sigma}}(\mu-x)\mathrm{d}x, \tag{134}$$

$$\partial_\Sigma D_{\mu,\Sigma}(\mho)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\mho^2\partial_\Sigma\big(\mho_{\mu,\Sigma}^{-1}\big)\mathrm{d}x=\frac{1}{2}\Sigma^{-1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\frac{\mho^2}{\mho_{\mu,\Sigma}}\big(\Sigma-(x-\mu)(x-\mu)^{\mathrm{T}}\big)\mathrm{d}x\Sigma^{-1}, \tag{135}$$

provided $\Sigma_*+i\Theta\succcurlyeq 0$. Indeed, in view of the strict concavity of $\ln\det(\cdot)$ on the set of positive definite matrices [24], for any given $x\in\mathbb{R}^n$, the quantity

$$\frac{1}{\mho_{\mu,\Sigma}(x)}=(2\pi)^{n/2}\exp\Big(\frac{1}{2}|\widetilde{\mu}-\Sigma^{-1/2}x|^2-\ln\det(\Sigma^{-1/2})\Big)$$

is a strictly convex function of $(\widetilde{\mu},\Sigma^{-1/2})$, where $\widetilde{\mu}:=\Sigma^{-1/2}\mu$, and hence, so also is $D_{\mu,\Sigma}(\mho)$ in (132). Therefore, since there is a smooth bijection between the pairs $(\widetilde{\mu},\Sigma^{-1/2})$ and (μ,Σ), the minimization problem (133) has at most one solution on an open set $\{(\mu,\Sigma)\in\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{S}_n:\ \Sigma\succ 0\}$. This solution, when it exists, is necessarily a critical point of $D_{\mu,\Sigma}(\mho)$, and there are no other critical points. If the critical point of $D_{\mu,\Sigma}(\mho)$ satisfies the uncertainty principle condition $\Sigma_*+i\Theta\succcurlyeq 0$, this point also delivers a solution to the constrained problem (133). Now, the relations (134) and (135) lead to a fixed-point problem with respect to μ_* and Σ_* described by the coupled nonlinear vector-matrix equations

$$\mu_*=\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}p_{\mu_*,\Sigma_*}(x)x\mathrm{d}x,\qquad \Sigma_*=\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}p_{\mu_*,\Sigma_*}(x)xx^{\mathrm{T}}\mathrm{d}x-\mu_*\mu_*^{\mathrm{T}}, \tag{136}$$

whose right-hand sides are the mean vector and the covariance matrix for an auxiliary PDF $p_{\mu_*,\Sigma_*}:\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{R}_+$ associated with the QPDF $\mho$ as

$$p_{\mu,\Sigma}=\frac{\mho^2}{(1+D_{\mu,\Sigma}(\mho))\mho_{\mu,\Sigma}}. \tag{137}$$

A different approach to the linearization of nonlinear quantum dynamics has recently been proposed in [58] as a quantum Gaussian stochastic linearization method which employs quadratic approximation of Hamiltonians. The study of "non-Gaussianity" of quantum states and their Gaussian approximations based on (133) can benefit from the following dissipation relation for the χ^2-divergence for fixed parameters μ and Σ.

Theorem 3. *Suppose the system-field coupling operators $h_1,\ldots,h_m$ are linear functions of the system variables described by (17) and (19), and the system Hamiltonian h_0 is decomposed according to (92). Also, let the QPDF $\mho$ be continuously differentiable with respect to time and twice continuously differentiable with respect to its spatial variables and satisfy the conditions*

$$\mho(t,x)=o\Big(\sqrt{\mho_{\mu,\Sigma}(x)}|x|^{-n/2}\Big),\qquad \partial_x\mho(t,x)=o\Big(\sqrt{\mho_{\mu,\Sigma}(x)}|x|^{1-n/2}\Big),\qquad x\to\infty, \tag{138}$$

uniformly over any bounded time interval. Then the χ^2-divergence $D_{\mu,\Sigma}(\mho)$ of the actual QPDF $\mho$ from the Gaussian PDF (105) in (132) satisfies the dissipation relation

$$\partial_t D_{\mu,\Sigma}(\mho) + (A\mu + 2\Theta b)^{\mathrm{T}}\partial_\mu D_{\mu,\Sigma}(\mho) + \big\langle A\Sigma + \Sigma A^{\mathrm{T}} + BB^{\mathrm{T}}, \partial_\Sigma D_{\mu,\Sigma}(\mho)\big\rangle_{\mathrm{F}} + \underbrace{\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|B^{\mathrm{T}}\partial_x \mho|^2}{\mho_{\mu,\Sigma}}\mathrm{d}x - \big\langle BB^{\mathrm{T}}, \Sigma^{-1}\big\rangle_{\mathrm{F}}\big(D_{\mu,\Sigma}(\mho)+1\big)}_{\text{nonnegative}} = 2\left\langle \frac{\mho}{\mho_{\mu,\Sigma}}, \mathfrak{G}(\mho)\right\rangle. \tag{139}$$

Here, A and B are the matrices given by (21), and $\mathfrak{G}$ is the integral operator defined by (117). □

Proof. Since μ and Σ are fixed, $D_{\mu,\Sigma}(\mho)$ depends on time only through the QPDF $\mho$. By differentiating (132) with respect to time and using the IDE (95), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_t D_{\mu,\Sigma}(\mho) =& 2\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\mho\partial_t\mho}{\mho_{\mu,\Sigma}}\mathrm{d}x \\
=& 2\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\mho(t,x)}{\mho_{\mu,\Sigma}(x)}\Big(-\mathrm{div}(\mho(t,x)(Ax+2\Theta b)) + \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{div}^2(\mho(t,x)BB^{\mathrm{T}}) + \mathfrak{G}(\mho(t,\cdot))(x)\Big)\mathrm{d}x \\
=& -(A\mu+2\Theta b)^{\mathrm{T}}\partial_\mu D_{\mu,\Sigma}(\mho) - \big\langle A\Sigma+\Sigma A^{\mathrm{T}} + BB^{\mathrm{T}}, \partial_\Sigma D_{\mu,\Sigma}(\mho)\big\rangle_{\mathrm{F}} \\
&+ \big\langle BB^{\mathrm{T}}, \Sigma^{-1}\big\rangle_{\mathrm{F}}\big(D_{\mu,\Sigma}(\mho)+1\big) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\frac{|B^{\mathrm{T}}\partial_x\mho(t,x)|^2}{\mho_{\mu,\Sigma}(x)}\mathrm{d}x + 2\left\langle\frac{\mho}{\mho_{\mu,\Sigma}}, \mathfrak{G}(\mho)\right\rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

which leads to (139). Here, use is made of the divergence theorem in combination with the decay rate conditions (138) and the relations

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{2\mho}{\mho_{\mu,\Sigma}}\mathrm{div}(\mho(Ax+2\Theta b)) &= \mathrm{div}\Big(\frac{\mho^2}{\mho_{\mu,\Sigma}}(Ax+2\Theta b)\Big) + \frac{\mho^2}{\mho_{\mu,\Sigma}}\mathrm{Tr}A - \mho^2(Ax+2\Theta b)^{\mathrm{T}}\partial_x\big(\mho_{\mu,\Sigma}^{-1}\big) \\
&= \mathrm{div}\Big(\frac{\mho^2}{\mho_{\mu,\Sigma}}(Ax+2\Theta b)\Big) + (A\mu+2\Theta b)^{\mathrm{T}}\partial_\mu\Big(\frac{\mho^2}{\mho_{\mu,\Sigma}}\Big) + \Big\langle A\Sigma+\Sigma A^{\mathrm{T}}, \partial_\Sigma\Big(\frac{\mho^2}{\mho_{\mu,\Sigma}}\Big)\Big\rangle_{\mathrm{F}}, \\
\frac{\mho}{\mho_{\mu,\Sigma}}\mathrm{div}^2(\mho BB^{\mathrm{T}}) &= \mathrm{div}\Big(\frac{\mho}{\mho_{\mu,\Sigma}}BB^{\mathrm{T}}\partial_x\mho\Big) - \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{div}\big(\mho^2 BB^{\mathrm{T}}\partial_x\big(\mho_{\mu,\Sigma}^{-1}\big)\big) + \frac{1}{2}\mho^2\Big\langle BB^{\mathrm{T}}, \partial_x^2\big(\mho_{\mu,\Sigma}^{-1}\big)\Big\rangle_{\mathrm{F}} - \frac{|B^{\mathrm{T}}\partial_x\mho|^2}{\mho_{\mu,\Sigma}} \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{div}\big(\mho_{\mu,\Sigma}^{-2}BB^{\mathrm{T}}\partial_x\big(\mho_{\mu,\Sigma}\mho^2\big)\big) - \Big\langle BB^{\mathrm{T}}, \partial_\Sigma\Big(\frac{\mho^2}{\mho_{\mu,\Sigma}}\Big)\Big\rangle_{\mathrm{F}} + \big\langle BB^{\mathrm{T}}, \Sigma^{-1}\big\rangle_{\mathrm{F}}\frac{\mho^2}{\mho_{\mu,\Sigma}} - \frac{|B^{\mathrm{T}}\partial_x\mho|^2}{\mho_{\mu,\Sigma}}.
\end{aligned}$$

In turn, these relations are obtained from the following identities for the Gaussian PDF $\mho_{\mu,\Sigma}$ in (105):

$$\begin{aligned}
(Ax+2\Theta b)^{\mathrm{T}}\partial_x\big(\mho_{\mu,\Sigma}^{-1}\big) &= \mho_{\mu,\Sigma}^{-1}\Big((A\mu+2\Theta b)^{\mathrm{T}}\xi + \frac{1}{2}\xi^{\mathrm{T}}(A\Sigma+\Sigma A^{\mathrm{T}})\xi\Big) \\
&= -(A\mu+2\Theta b)^{\mathrm{T}}\partial_\mu\big(\mho_{\mu,\Sigma}^{-1}\big) + \frac{1}{2}\Big\langle A\Sigma+\Sigma A^{\mathrm{T}}, \mho_{\mu,\Sigma}^{-1}\Sigma^{-1} - 2\partial_\Sigma\big(\mho_{\mu,\Sigma}^{-1}\big)\Big\rangle_{\mathrm{F}} \\
&= \frac{\mathrm{Tr}A}{\mho_{\mu,\Sigma}} - (A\mu+2\Theta b)^{\mathrm{T}}\partial_\mu\big(\mho_{\mu,\Sigma}^{-1}\big) - \Big\langle A\Sigma+\Sigma A^{\mathrm{T}}, \partial_\Sigma\big(\mho_{\mu,\Sigma}^{-1}\big)\Big\rangle_{\mathrm{F}}, \\
\partial_x\big(\mho_{\mu,\Sigma}^{-1}\big) &= \mho_{\mu,\Sigma}^{-1}\xi = -\partial_\mu\big(\mho_{\mu,\Sigma}^{-1}\big), \qquad (140) \\
\partial_x^2\big(\mho_{\mu,\Sigma}^{-1}\big) &= \mho_{\mu,\Sigma}^{-1}(\Sigma^{-1}+\xi\xi^{\mathrm{T}}) = 2\big(\mho_{\mu,\Sigma}^{-1}\Sigma^{-1} - \partial_\Sigma\big(\mho_{\mu,\Sigma}^{-1}\big)\big), \\
\partial_\Sigma\big(\mho_{\mu,\Sigma}^{-1}\big) &= \frac{1}{2}\mho_{\mu,\Sigma}^{-1}\big(\Sigma^{-1} - \xi\xi^{\mathrm{T}}\big), \qquad (141)
\end{aligned}$$

which employ an auxiliary variable $\xi := \Sigma^{-1}(x-\mu)$, where (140) and (141) have already been used in (134) and (135). ■

Although it is not discussed in the proof of Theorem 3, the nonnegativeness of the indicated term in (139) is a corollary of the representation

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\frac{|B^{\mathrm{T}}\partial_x\mho|^2}{\mho_{\mu,\Sigma}(x)}\mathrm{d}x - \big\langle BB^{\mathrm{T}}, \Sigma^{-1}\big\rangle_{\mathrm{F}}\big(D_{\mu,\Sigma}(\mho)+1\big) = \Big\langle BB^{\mathrm{T}}, \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\frac{\partial_x\mho\partial_x\mho^{\mathrm{T}}}{\mho_{\mu,\Sigma}}\mathrm{d}x - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\frac{\mho^2}{\mho_{\mu,\Sigma}}\mathrm{d}x\Sigma^{-1}\Big\rangle_{\mathrm{F}}$$

in view of the following lemma which can be regarded as a weighted matrix-valued version of the Dirichlet variational principle [9].

Lemma 2. *Suppose $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is a twice continuously differentiable function, which is square integrable together with its gradient φ' with the weight $\mho_{\mu,\Sigma}^{-1}$ (the reciprocal of the Gaussian PDF from (105) with mean vector $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and covariance matrix $\Sigma \succ 0$) and satisfies*

$$\varphi(x)\varphi'(x) = o\big(\mho_{\mu,\Sigma}(x)|x|^{1-n}\big), \qquad x \to \infty. \tag{142}$$

Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\varphi'\varphi'^{\mathrm{T}}}{\mho_{\mu,\Sigma}} \mathrm{d}x \succcurlyeq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\varphi^2}{\mho_{\mu,\Sigma}} \mathrm{d}x \Sigma^{-1}. \tag{143}$$

Moreover, this inequality becomes an equality if and only if φ coincides with $\mho_{\mu,\Sigma}$ up to a constant factor. □

Proof. The affine transformation $x \mapsto \mu + \sqrt{\Sigma}x$ of the integration variable reduces (143), without loss of generality, to the case of the standard normal PDF in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with $\mu = 0$ and $\Sigma = I_n$. In this case, (143) is equivalent to the fulfillment of the "scalar" inequality

$$\left\langle T, \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\varphi'\varphi'^{\mathrm{T}}}{\mho_{0,I_n}} \mathrm{d}x \right\rangle_{\mathrm{F}} \geqslant \mathrm{Tr}T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\varphi^2}{\mho_{0,I_n}} \mathrm{d}x \tag{144}$$

for any positive definite matrix $T := (T_{jk})_{1\leqslant j,k\leqslant n} \in \mathbb{S}_n$. Now, by introducing an auxiliary function

$$\psi := \frac{\varphi}{\sqrt{\mho_{0,I_n}}} \tag{145}$$

(which is square integrable together with its gradient ψ') and using the identity $(\sqrt{\mho_{0,I_n}})' = -\frac{1}{2}x\sqrt{\mho_{0,I_n}}$, it follows that

$$\varphi' = (\sqrt{\mho_{0,I_n}}\psi)' = \sqrt{\mho_{0,I_n}}\Big(\psi' - \frac{1}{2}x\psi\Big).$$

Substitution of this equation into (144) and integration by parts allows the left-hand side of the inequality to be represented as

$$\left\langle T, \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\varphi'\varphi'^{\mathrm{T}}}{\mho_{0,I_n}} \mathrm{d}x \right\rangle_{\mathrm{F}} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Big\|\psi' - \frac{1}{2}x\psi\Big\|_T^2 \mathrm{d}x = \langle \psi, \mathfrak{H}(\psi)\rangle + \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}T\|\psi\|_2^2, \tag{146}$$

where $\mathfrak{H}$ is the Hamiltonian of an auxiliary quantum harmonic oscillator in the position space $\mathbb{R}^n$ with the stiffness matrix $\frac{1}{2}T$ and mass matrix $\frac{1}{2}T^{-1}$:

$$\mathfrak{H}(\psi) := \frac{1}{4}\|x\|_T^2\psi - \langle T, \psi''\rangle_{\mathrm{F}}, \tag{147}$$

with ψ playing the role of a wave function. In (146), the divergence theorem has been combined with the identity

$$\Big\|\psi' - \frac{1}{2}x\psi\Big\|_T^2 = \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{div}\big(T((\psi^2)' - \psi^2 x)\big) + \psi\mathfrak{H}(\psi) + \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}T\psi^2 = \mathrm{div}\left(\frac{\varphi T\varphi'}{\mho_{0,I_n}}\right) + \psi\mathfrak{H}(\psi) + \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}T\psi^2,$$

and use is made of (145) and the decay rate condition (142) in the case $\mu = 0$ and $\Sigma = I_n$ being considered. The ground state of the auxiliary oscillator is given by $\psi = \sqrt{\mho_{0,I_n}}$ and does not depend on the matrix T, with the ground energy being $\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}T$ in view of the eigenvalue property $\mathfrak{H}(\sqrt{\mho_{0,I_n}}) = \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}T\sqrt{\mho_{0,I_n}}$ for the corresponding stationary Schrödinger equation. Hence, $\langle\psi,\mathfrak{H}(\psi)\rangle \geqslant \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}T\|\psi\|_2^2$ for any function ψ, which, in combination with (146) and (145), leads to

$$\left\langle T, \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\varphi'\varphi'^{\mathrm{T}}}{\mho_{0,I_n}} \mathrm{d}x \right\rangle_{\mathrm{F}} \geqslant \mathrm{Tr}T\|\psi\|_2^2 = \mathrm{Tr}T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\varphi^2}{\mho_{0,I_n}} \mathrm{d}x,$$

thus establishing (144) and (143) due to arbitrariness of the matrix $T \succ 0$. The second assertion of the lemma follows from the fact that $\psi = \sqrt{\mho_{0,I_n}}$, as a ground state wave function of the Hamiltonian $\mathfrak{H}$ in (147), is unique up to a constant factor, with the corresponding function $\varphi = \sqrt{\mho_{0,I_n}}\psi = \mho_{0,I_n}$ being the Gaussian PDF in view of (145). ■

We will now apply Theorem 3 and Lemma 2 to the setting where μ and Σ are evolved so as to remain the unique solution of the optimization problem (133) at every moment of time. It is assumed that $\mu_* \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\Sigma_* \succcurlyeq -i\Theta$, which deliver the minimum, are continuously differentiable functions of time described by (136) and (137). In this case, both $\partial_\mu D_{\mu,\Sigma}(\mho)$ and $\partial_\Sigma D_{\mu,\Sigma}(\mho)$ vanish at $\mu = \mu_*$ and $\Sigma = \Sigma_*$, and the total time derivative of

the corresponding minimum χ^2-divergence $D(\mho) = D_{\mu_*,\Sigma_*}(\mho)$ coincides with the partial time derivative in (139) which reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} D(\mho)^{\cdot} &= \big(\partial_t D_{\mu,\Sigma}(\mho) + \dot\mu_*^{\mathrm T}\partial_\mu D_{\mu,\Sigma}(\mho) + \big\langle \dot\Sigma_*, \partial_\Sigma D_{\mu,\Sigma}(\mho)\big\rangle_{\mathrm F}\big)\Big|_{\mu=\mu_*,\Sigma=\Sigma_*} \\ &= \big\langle BB^{\mathrm T}, \Sigma_*^{-1}\big\rangle_{\mathrm F}\,(D(\mho)+1) - \int_{\mathbb R^n} \frac{|B^{\mathrm T}\partial_x \mho|^2}{\mho_{\mu_*,\Sigma_*}}\mathrm dx + 2\left\langle \frac{\mho}{\mho_{\mu_*,\Sigma_*}}, \mathfrak G(\mho)\right\rangle \leqslant 2\left\langle \frac{\mho}{\mho_{\mu_*,\Sigma_*}}, \mathfrak G(\mho)\right\rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{148}$$

Some remarks are in order in regard to the inner product on the right-hand sides of the dissipation relations (139) and (148). From (117), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \frac{\mho}{\mho_{\mu,\Sigma}}, \mathfrak G(\mho)\right\rangle &= -2\int_{\mathbb R^n} \frac{\mho(x)}{\mho_{\mu,\Sigma}(x)} \int_{\mathbb R^d} \Pi(x,v)\mho(x-\Theta Z^{\mathrm T}v)\mathrm dv\mathrm dx \\ &= -2\int_{\mathbb R^n\times\mathbb R^d} \widetilde\Pi(x,v)\widetilde\mho(x)\widetilde\mho(x-\Theta Z^{\mathrm T}v)\mathrm dx\mathrm dv, \end{aligned} \tag{149}$$

where the time argument of the QPDF $\mho$ is omitted for brevity. Here, $\widetilde\mho := \frac{\mho}{\sqrt{\mho_{\mu,\Sigma}}}$ is an auxiliary function whose L^2-norm is related to the χ^2-divergence in (132) as

$$\|\widetilde\mho\|_2 = \sqrt{D_{\mu,\Sigma}(\mho)+1}, \tag{150}$$

and

$$\widetilde\Pi(x,v) := \Pi(x,v)\sqrt{\frac{\mho_{\mu,\Sigma}(x-\Theta Z^{\mathrm T}v)}{\mho_{\mu,\Sigma}(x)}} = \Pi(x,v)\mathrm e^{\frac12(x-\mu)^{\mathrm T}\Sigma^{-1}\Theta Z^{\mathrm T}v - \frac14\|\Theta Z^{\mathrm T}v\|^2_{\Sigma^{-1}}}. \tag{151}$$

However, the absence of decay in the kernel function $\Pi(x,v)$ as $x\to\infty$ in (96) makes the following upper bound (which employs only the Cauchy-Bunyakovsky-Schwarz inequality and (150)) for the right-hand side of (149) ineffective:

$$\begin{aligned} \Big|\int_{\mathbb R^n\times\mathbb R^d} \widetilde\Pi(x,v)\widetilde\mho(x)\widetilde\mho(x-\Theta Z^{\mathrm T}v)\mathrm dx\mathrm dv\Big| &\leqslant \int_{\mathbb R^d} \|\widetilde\Pi(\cdot,v)\|_\infty \int_{\mathbb R^n} \big|\widetilde\mho(x)\widetilde\mho(x-\Theta Z^{\mathrm T}v)\big|\mathrm dx\mathrm dv \\ &\leqslant \int_{\mathbb R^d} \|\widetilde\Pi(\cdot,v)\|_\infty \mathrm dv\,\big(D_{\mu,\Sigma}(\mho)+1\big), \end{aligned}$$

because, in view of (151), $\|\widetilde\Pi(\cdot,v)\|_\infty := \sup_{x\in\mathbb R^n}|\widetilde\Pi(x,v)| = +\infty$ for any $v\in\mathbb R^d\setminus\{0\}$ such that $\widetilde H_0(v)\neq 0$. Therefore, nontrivial estimates for (149) should be based on a more subtle analysis using the information on smoothness of the QPDF $\mho$ as mentioned in Section 8.

11. Conclusion

We have considered a class of open quantum stochastic systems, whose dynamic variables satisfy CCRs and are governed by Markovian Hudson-Parthasarathy QSDEs, with the Hamiltonian and coupling operators represented in the Weyl quantization form. In extending the Wigner-Moyal approach from isolated systems to open quantum stochastic systems, we have obtained an IDE for the evolution of the QCF which encodes the moment dynamics of the system variables. A related IDE, which governs the QPDF dynamics, coincides with the classical FPKE in the case of open quantum harmonic oscillators and becomes the Moyal equation for isolated quantum systems. For a class of open quantum systems with linear system-field coupling and a nonquadratic Hamitonian, the IDE for the QPDF consists of an FPKE part and a Moyal term, which leads to non-Gaussian dynamics and negative values of the QPDF. The smoothness of fundamental solutions of this IDE needs a separate research into an appropriate counterpart of Hörmander conditions. We have discussed an approximate computation of invariant QPDFs in the presence of Gaussian-shaped potentials and the deviation of the system from Gaussian quantum states in terms of the χ^2-divergence of the QPDF. The results of the paper may find applications to different aspects of relaxation dynamics in open quantum stochastic systems, such as the existence and phase-space representation of invariant states and the rates of convergence to them.

References

[1] V.P.Belavkin, On the theory of controlling observable quantum systems, *Autom. Rem. Contr.*, vol. 44, no. 2, 1983, pp. 178–188.

[2] V.P.Belavkin, Noncommutative dynamics and generalized master equations, *Math. Notes*, vol. 87, no. 5, 2010, pp. 636–653.

[3] T.M.Cover, and J.A.Thomas, *Elements of Information Theory*, Wiley, New York, 1991.

[4] C.D.Cushen, and R.L.Hudson, A quantum-mechanical central limit theorem, *J. Appl. Prob.*, vol. 8, no. 3, 1971, pp. 454–469.

[5] C.Doleans-Dade, Quelques applications de la formule de changement de variables pour les semimartingales, *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie verw.*, vol. 16, 1970, pp. 181–194.

[6] C.D'Helon, A.C.Doherty, M.R.James, and S.D.Wilson, Quantum risk-sensitive control, Proc. 45th IEEE CDC, San Diego, CA, USA, December 13–15, 2006, pp. 3132–3137.

[7] P.A.Frantsuzov, and V.A.Mandelshtam, Quantum statistical mechanics with Gaussians: equilibrium properties of van der Waals clusters, *J. Chem. Phys.*, vol. 121, no. 19, 2004, pp. 9247–9256.

[8] S.C.Edwards, and V.P.Belavkin, Optimal quantum filtering and quantum feedback control, arXiv:quant-ph/0506018v2, August 1, 2005.

[9] L.C.Evans, *Partial Differential Equations*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 1998.

[10] G.B.Folland, *Harmonic Analysis in Phase Space*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1989.

[11] C.W.Gardiner, and P.Zoller, *Quantum Noise*. Springer, Berlin, 2004.

[12] V.Gorini, A.Kossakowski, E.C.G.Sudarshan, Completely positive dynamical semigroups of N-level systems, *J. Math. Phys.*, vol. 17, no. 5, 1976, pp. 821–825.

[13] J.E.Gough, Dissipative canonical flows in classical and quantum mechanics, *J. Math. Phys.*, vol. 40, no. 6, 1999, pp. 2805–2815.

[14] J.Gough, V.P.Belavkin, and O.G.Smolyanov, Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equations for quantum optimal feedback control, *J. Opt. B: Quantum Semiclass. Opt.*, vol. 7, 2005, pp. S237–S244.

[15] J.Gough, and M.R.James, Quantum feedback networks: Hamiltonian formulation, *Commun. Math. Phys.*, vol. 287, 2009, pp. 1109–1132.

[16] J.Gough, T.S.Ratiu, and O.G.Smolyanov, Feynman, Wigner, and Hamiltonian structures describing the dynamics of open quantum systems, *Doklady Maths.*, vol. 89, no. 1, 2014, pp. 68–71.

[17] J.Gough, T.S.Ratiu, and O.G.Smolyanov, Wigner measures and quantum control, *Doklady Maths.*, vol. 91, no. 2, 2015, pp. 199–203.

[18] J.Gough, Symplectic noise and the classical analog of the Lindblad generator. Does the regression hypothesis also fail in classical physics? *J. Stat. Phys.*, vol. 160, no. 6, 2015, pp. 1709–1720.

[19] R.M.Gray, *Entropy and Information Theory*, Springer, New York, 2008.

[20] B.J.Hiley, On the relationship between the Wigner-Moyal and Bohm approaches to quantum mechanics: a step to a more general theory?, *Foundat. Phys.*, vol. 40, no. 4, 2010, pp. 356–367.

[21] A.S.Holevo, Quantum stochastic calculus, *J. Math. Sci.*, vol. 56, no. 5, 1991, pp. 2609–2624.

[22] A.S.Holevo, Exponential formulae in quantum stochastic calculus, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh*, vol. 126A, 1994, pp. 375–389.

[23] A.S.Holevo, *Statistical Structure of Quantum Theory*, Springer, Berlin, 2001.

[24] R.A.Horn, and C.R.Johnson, *Matrix Analysis*, Cambridge University Press, New York, 2007.

[25] L.Hörmander, Hypoelliptic second order differential equations, *Acta Math.*, vol. 119, no. 1, 1967, pp. 147–171

[26] R.L.Hudson, When is the Wigner quasi-probability density non-negative? *Rep. Math. Phys.*, vol. 6, no. 2, 1974, pp. 249–252.

[27] R.L.Hudson, and K.R.Parthasarathy, Quantum Ito's formula and stochastic evolutions. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, vol. 93, 1984, pp. 301–323.

[28] K.Jacobs, and P.L.Knight, Linear quantum trajectories: applications to continuous projection measurements, *Phys. Rev. A*, vol. 57, no. 4, 1998, pp. 2301–2310.

[29] M.R.James, A quantum Langevin formulation of risk-sensitive optimal control, *J. Opt. B*, vol. 7, 2005, pp. S198–S207.

[30] M.R.James, and J.E.Gough, Quantum dissipative systems and feedback control design by interconnection, *IEEE Trans. Autom. Contr.*, vol. 55, no. 8, pp. 1806–1821.

[31] M.R.James, H.I.Nurdin, and I.R.Petersen, H^∞ control of linear quantum stochastic systems, *IEEE Trans. Automat. Contr.*, vol. 53, no. 8, 2008, pp. 1787–1803.

[32] I.Karatzas, and S.E.Shreve, *Brownian Motion and Stochastic Calculus*, 2nd Ed., Springer, New York, 1991.

[33] J.Kupsch, and O.G.Smolyanov, Exact master equations describing reduced dynamics of the Wigner function, *J. Math. Sci.*, vol. 150, no. 6, 2008, pp. 2598–2608.

[34] G.Lindblad, On the generators of quantum dynamical semigroups, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, vol. 48, 1976, pp. 119–130.

[35] R.S.Liptser, and A.N.Shiryaev, *Statistics of Random Processes: Applications*, Springer, Berlin, 2001.

[36] S.Ma, M.J.Woolley, I.R.Petersen, and N.Yamamoto, Preparation of pure Gaussian states via cascaded quantum systems, arXiv:1408.2290 [quant-ph], 11 Aug 2014.

[37] P.Malliavin, *Stochastic Analysis*, Springer, Berlin, 1997.

[38] G.I.Marchuk, *Splitting Methods*, Nauka, Moscow, 1988.

[39] K.-P.Marzlin, and S.Deering, The Moyal equation for open quantum systems, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.*, vol. 48, 2015, pp. 205301(13).

[40] E.Merzbacher, *Quantum Mechanics*, 3rd Ed., Wiley, New York, 1998.

[41] Z.Miao, and M.R.James, Quantum observer for linear quantum stochastic systems, Proc. 51st IEEE Conf. Decision Control, Maui, Hawaii, USA, December 10-13, 2012, pp. 1680–1684.

[42] P.M.Morse, Diatomic molecules according to the wave mechanics. II. Vibrational levels, *Phys. Rev.*, vol. 34, 1929, pp. 57–64.

[43] J. E. Moyal, Quantum mechanics as a statistical theory, *Proc. Cam. Phil. Soc.*, vol. 45, 1949, pp. 99–124.

[44] H.I.Nurdin, M.R.James, and I.R.Petersen, Coherent quantum LQG control, *Automatica*, vol. 45, 2009, pp. 1837–1846.

[45] Y.Pan, H.Amini, Z.Miao, J.Gough, V.Ugrinovskii, and M.R.James, Heisenberg picture approach to the stability of quantum Markov systems, *J. Math. Phys.*, vol. 55, 2014, pp. 062701–1–16.

[46] K.R.Parthasarathy, *An Introduction to Quantum Stochastic Calculus*, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1992.

[47] K.R.Parthasarathy, What is a Gaussian state? *Commun. Stoch. Anal.*, vol. 4, no. 2, 2010, pp. 143–160.

[48] K.R.Parthasarathy, and K.Schmidt, *Positive Definite Kernels, Continuous Tensor Products, and Central Limit Theorems of Probability Theory*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1972.

[49] I.R.Petersen, V.Ugrinovskii, and M.R.James, Robust stability of uncertain linear quantum systems, *Phil. Trans. Royal Soc. A*, vol. 370, no. 1979, 2012, pp. 5354–5363.

[50] A.Renyi, On measures of entropy and information, Proc. 4th Berkeley Sympos. Math. Statist. Prob., I, 1961, pp. 547–561.

[51] A.J.Shaiju, and I.R.Petersen, A frequency domain condition for the physical realizability of linear quantum systems, *IEEE Trans. Automat. Contr.*, vol. 57, no. 8, 2012, pp. 2033–2044.

[52] A.Kh.Sichani, I.G.Vladimirov, and I.R.Petersen, Robust mean square stability of open quantum stochastic systems with Hamiltonian perturbations in a Weyl quantization form, Proc. Australian Control Conference, 2014, Canberra, Australia, 17-18 November 2014, pp. 83–88.

[53] G.Strang, On the construction and comparison of difference schemes, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, vol. 5, no. 3, 1968, pp. 506–517.

[54] D.W.Stroock, Partial differential equations for probabilists, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2008.

[55] V.Veitch, C.Ferrie, D.Gross, and J.Emerson, Negative quasi-probability as a resource for quantum computation, *New J. Phys.*, vol. 14, 2012, pp. 113011(1)–113011(21).
[56] V.S.Vladimirov, *Equations of Mathematical Physics*, M.Dekker, New York, 1971.
[57] V.S.Vladimirov, *Methods of the Theory of Generalized Functions*, Taylor & Francis, London, 2002.
[58] I.G.Vladimirov, and I.R.Petersen, Gaussian stochastic linearization for open quantum systems using quadratic approximation of Hamiltonians, Proc. MTNS 2012, Melbourne, Victoria, 9-13 July 2012, https://fwn06.housing.rug.nl/mtns/?page_id=13, (preprint: arXiv:1202.0946v1 [quant-ph], 5 February 2012).
[59] I.G.Vladimirov, and I.R.Petersen, Risk-sensitive dissipativity of linear quantum stochastic systems under Lur'e type perturbations of Hamiltonians, Proc. Australian Control Conference, Sydney, Australia, 15-16 November 2012, pp. 247–252.
[60] I.G.Vladimirov, and I.R.Petersen, Characterization and moment stability analysis of quasilinear quantum stochastic systems with quadratic coupling to external fields, Proc. 51st Conference on Decision and Control, IEEE, Maui, Hawaii, USA, 10-13 December 2012, pp. 1691–1696.
[61] I.G.Vladimirov, and I.R.Petersen, A quasi-separation principle and Newton-like scheme for coherent quantum LQG control, *Syst. Contr. Lett.*, vol. 62, no. 7, 2013, pp. 550–559.
[62] I.G.Vladimirov, and I.R.Petersen, Coherent quantum filtering for physically realizable linear quantum plants, Proc. European Control Conference, IEEE, Zurich, Switzerland, 17-19 July 2013, pp. 2717–2723.
[63] R.M.Wilcox, Exponential operators and parameter differentiation in quantum physics, *J. Math. Phys.*, vol. 8, no. 4, 1967, pp. 962–982.
[64] J.C.Willems, Dissipative dynamical systems. Part I: general theory, Part II: linear systems with quadratic supply rates, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, vol. 45, no. 5, 1972, pp. 321–351, 352–393.
[65] N.Yamamoto, and L.Bouten, Quantum risk-sensitive estimation and robustness, *IEEE Trans. Automat. Contr.*, vol. 54, no. 1, 2009, pp. 92–107.
[66] M.Yanagisawa, Non-Gaussian state generation from linear elements via feedback, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 103, no. 20, 2009, pp. 203601–1–4.
[67] K.Yosida, *Functional Analysis*, 6th Ed., Springer, Berlin, 1980.
[68] G.Zhang, and M.R.James, On the response of linear quantum stochastic systems to single-photon inputs and pulse shaping of photon wave packets, Proc. Australian Control Conference, Melbourne, 10-11 November 2011, pp. 62–67.

UNSW CANBERRA, ACT 2600, AUSTRALIA
E-mail address: igor.g.vladimirov@gmail.com